

The New Ensign

No. 3 September 2009

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Israelite Reader

Our Mission is to awaken the Anglo-Saxon and Celtic peoples to their true, yet long forgotten identity as Yahweh's chosen people through improved biblical exegesis and re-examination of ancient historical records.

In every issue we shall attest the exclusivity of the true Israel peoples and expose the current scams and hoaxes being perpetrated upon us by those who masquerade as the Chosen and yet are merely the self-chosen who aim to weaken, enslave and destroy our people in order to steal our inheritance.

The latest and most deadly scam is the declaration by the WHO of a Phase 6 'Pandemic Level' global health emergency concerning Swine Flu: a political decision which has no relation to any proven virus threat, rather it is more about a multi-billion dollar injection of mega-profits into the coffers of global vaccine giants. The known toxic vaccine contents will facilitate a long term agenda of population reduction while maximizing profit from the infliction of death and disease.

Having falsely declared a Swine Flu Pandemic, [by altering their own definition of Pandemic earlier this year], the WHO can now mandate vaccinations, impose quarantine and restrict travel in the 194 participating countries whose governments have secretly ceded national security to the WHO during such a declared pandemic. The engineered Swine Flu vaccine is the chosen vehicle whereby the weaponized components will be the vector of deadly disease. Not surprisingly, blanket immunity has already been granted to vaccine makers absolving them from all present and future liability.

If the reader has any further doubts then consider this. The Swine Flu patent was published in March 2009 seven months after it was filed and a month before the swine flu was released in Mexico in April. Clearly this is the culmination of a cold blooded population reduction programme openly boasted about by its psychopathic predators. We must warn our people to say NO to

vaccines and to any pharmaceuticals we have been brain-washed into believing we need! The Medical Mafia and Big Pharma could be severely disempowered were we to refuse to buy into their poisons *en masse*.

If this information is new to the reader and we know it to be true, then there must be reference in the Word because Yahweh would not fail to warn His people of so great a danger. Well, He has and the Greek word used in the N.T. was *pharmakeia* meaning drugs and poison not that this is apparent from the KJV translation. Consequently, we have included William R Finck's recent, incisive exegesis concerning that word as the prime article opposite, containing his admonition that vaccines are contrary to Yahweh's law as they include forbidden foods such as fungi, green monkey tissue, aborted human foetal tissue [cannibalism] as well as mercury, aluminium and other toxins.

An orchestrated chaos is impending but what have we to fear if we delight in keeping Yahweh's laws and remain alert. This is an exciting time to be alive but it is not for the faint hearted. It is for those who have exercised their spirits to discern both good and evil [Heb 5:14] although each of us has been called to play a different but vital part. So whatever strategies the snakes in suits employ against us, we have their measure and have been given authority over these embodied serpents and scorpions and nothing shall by any means hurt us. In the face of naked evil we can affirm with Elisha, 'Fear not: for they that be with us are more than they that be with them'. 2Kings 6:16 For are we not based upon the Rock against which the gates of hell shall not prevail?

Editor

editor@newensign.christogenea.org

Contents	Page
Christianity and Pharmaceuticals - William Finck	3
Born Under Contract - Clifton Emahiser	6
Harold Stough Notes	11
Pork is Not Food - William Finck	12
A Simple Refutation of The Rapture - Pastor Eli James	15
Differences Between Butter and Margarine	19
Was Mary a Levite or a Judahite	20
Classical & Biblical Records Identifying The Phoenicians -2 W. Finck	22
The Sycamore of The Bible - William H. Groser	25
Ten Things You Are Not Supposed To Know About The Flu Vaccine	26
9/9/9 Yahweh's Jubilee - Pastor Eli James	29
Who Did Christ Die For? - Nord Davis	36

Christianity And Pharmaceuticals

William Finck

The Greek words *pharmakon*, *pharmakos*, and *pharmakeia* are usually rendered “sorcerer” or “sorcery” in the King James Version of the Bible. That version was translated by 1611, and ever since then most theologians have followed in its footsteps, and have kept the interpretations of the medieval Englishmen of that time, while the rest of the world has become “modern”, and has updated its language. Or have we?

Here are the definitions of those words, from Liddell & Scott’s *An Intermediate Greek-English Lexicon*, Oxford University Press, Impression of 1999, First edition 1889. I will supply English transliterations in place of all of the original Greek words:

***pharmakon*:** “a drug, medicine, Homer etc.: the *pharmaka* applied outwardly were *chrīsta*, *egchrīsta*, *epichrīsta* (ointments), and *pasta*, *epipasta*, *kataplasta* (plasters), Theocritus, Aristophanes; those taken inwardly *brosima*, and *potima*, *pota*, *pista*, Aeschylus, Euripides, etc... 2. In bad sense, an enchanted potion ... so a charm, spell, enchantment ... also a drug, poison ... II. a remedy, cure, Hesiod”

***pharmakeia*:** “the use of drugs, potions, spells, Plato. 2. poisoning, witchcraft ... Demosthenes. II. remedy, cure, Aristotle.”

***pharmakos*:** “a poisoner, sorcerer, magician, N.T.”

Note that Liddell & Scott gave only the New Testament as a source for their definitions for this use of the word *pharmakos*. And why did they not give secular Greek definitions? Because they would have had to put “apothecary”, “pharmacist” and “druggist”, yet perhaps that was already not politically acceptable by 1889, and so instead they put nothing! The large and scholarly Ninth Edition of the Liddell & Scott *Greek-English Lexicon* does likewise, defining the word in this sense identically, and citing only the *Septuagint* and the N.T., even though it cites the secular Greek writer Herodianus (2nd c.

A.D.) on the pronunciation of the word. This is the only time that I have seen Liddell & Scott limit their definition of a word to the manner in which Bible translators have treated it, when the word appears also in Greek writers outside of the Bible. There is another sense in which the word *pharmakos* is used, and that will be discussed below.

Here is a lengthy citation concerning physicians from Strabo’s *Geography*, Book 15:1:60: “As for the Garmanes, he says that the most honourable of them are named Hylobii and that they live in forests, subsisting on leaves and wild fruits, clothed with the bark of trees, and abstaining from wine and the delights of love; and that they communicate with the kings, who through messengers inquire about the causes of things and through the Hylobii worship and supplicate

the Divinity; and that, after the Hylobii, **the physicians are second in honour**, and that they are, as it were, humanitarian philosophers, men who are of frugal habits but do not live out of doors, and subsist upon rice and barley-groats, which are given to them by everyone of whom they beg or



who offers them hospitality; **and that through sorcery they can cause people to have numerous offspring, and to have either male or female children; and that they cure diseases mostly through means of cereals, and not through means of medicaments; and that, among their medicaments, their ointments and their poultices are most esteemed, but that the rest of their remedies have much in them that is bad;** and that both this class and the other practice such endurance, both in toils and in perseverance, that they stay in one posture all day long without moving; **and that there are also diviners and enchanters, who are skilled both in the rites and in the customs pertaining to the deceased**, and go about begging alms from village to village and from city to city; and that there are others more accomplished and refined than these, but that even

these themselves do not abstain from the common talk about Hades, insofar as it is thought to be conducive to piety and holiness; and that women, as well as men, study philosophy with some of them, and that the women likewise abstain from the delights of love.”

The word for sorcery which Strabo used above is *pharmakon*. Note that it is used of physicians, and their medicaments, or *medications*. However those who practice divining and enchanting are discussed separately following the discussion of the physicians! Therefore “sorcery” describes the use of pharmaceuticals, and not the magic or divining arts. The word *pharmakeia*, from the above definitions and examples, means little else but drugs – whether taken internally or applied topically.

It is clear to this writer that our word *pharmaceutical* and all related words were derived from these Greek words, and that they bear the same meanings. Our language isn’t really “modern” after all! It may be argued that a pharmaceutical is a drug, and not a potion, and that the Bible is talking only about potions. That argument is entirely subjective. The Bible makes no such distinction, but talks of *pharmakeia* in general. There is absolutely no proof supporting any such distinction between drugs and potions or sorceries: the distinction did not exist at all until the foundation of the modern pharmaceutical industry and the money of the international bankers who created it!

Here are all of the Scripture references where those words appear in the New Testament, from the *Christogenea New Testament*:

“20 And the rest of the men, those who had not been killed by these plagues, did not even repent from the works of their hands, that they do not worship demons and idols, things of gold and things of silver and things of copper and things of stone and things of wood, things which are able neither to see nor to hear nor to walk. 21 And they did not repent from their murders nor from their drugs [*pharmakon*] nor from their fornication nor from thefts.” (Revelation 9:20-21, *CNT*)

“23 And the light of a lamp shall not shine in you hereafter! And the voice of the bridegroom and a bride shall not be heard in you hereafter! Because your merchants were the great men of

the earth! Because by your pharmaceuticals [*pharmakeia*] have all the nations been deceived!” (Revelation 18:23, *CNT*)

“8 But for the cowards and the faithless and abominable and murderers and fornicators and drug-makers [*pharmakos*] and idolaters and all those who lie, their fate is burning in the lake in fire and sulphur, which is the second death!” (Revelation 21:8, *CNT*)

“15 Outside are dogs and druggists [*pharmakos*] and fornicators and murderers and idolaters and any who love and make a lie.” !” (Revelation 22:15, *CNT*)

“19 Manifest are the deeds of the flesh, such things are fornication, uncleanness, licentiousness, 20 idolatry, use of drugs [*pharmakeia*], hostilities, contention, rivalry, wrath, intrigues, dissensions, sects, 21 envyings, drunkenness, revelries, and things like these; which I have announced to you beforehand, just as I have said before, that they who practice such things shall not inherit Yahweh’s kingdom.” (Galatians 5:19-21, *CNT*)

For the purposes of this paper, I am only going to cite here one Old Testament reference for the use of the word *pharmakeia*, Isaiah 47:9 from the KJV: “But these two *things* shall come to thee in a moment in one day, the loss of children, and widowhood: they shall come upon thee in their perfection for the multitude of thy sorceries, *and* for the great abundance of thine enchantments.” How can “sorceries” be connected to the loss of children, and to widowhood? Think about birth-control pills. Think about the declining birthrates which many tie to the modern chemical and pharmaceutical industries. Think about the premature deaths of so many people who have substituted fast food and prescription drugs in place of sound nutrition and exercise. Do we see a problem today with wizards and sorcerers deceiving all of the nations, as we have just read in Isaiah and the Revelation? Or do we see the whole world captivated by a need for drugs? Read all of Isaiah chapter 47, and one should realize with certainty that it is much the same language as Revelation chapter 18, where *pharmakeia* is also condemned, and that both chapters are talking about the same thing: the condemnation of the great whore and mystery Babylon!

The Christian should look to Yahweh his God for healing, protection or deliverance from evils and enemies, and a long and healthy life. Those very things are promised to those who keep His laws, for instance the commandment at Exodus 20:12 states “Honour thy father and thy mother: that thy days may be long upon the land which the LORD thy God giveth thee.” And the law also states, as Paul quotes Lev. 18:5 at Romans 10:5: “Moses writes of the justice which is from of the law, ‘That a man who practices these things shall have life by them.’” It is no mistake, that our English-speaking forebears used the word *crises* to describe what evils befell a man. For the word is directly from the Greek *krisis*, meaning *judgment*.

When men circumvent the laws of their God and Creator, they are forced to seek their own remedies for the resultant evils which befall them. Hence the search for *pharmakeia* in order to solve our troubles. This has opened a veritable Pandora’s Box, and our society’s dependence upon pharmaceuticals grows larger and larger with each passing day. We have become so alienated from our God, and have strayed so far from His laws, that even our infant children are pumped with all sorts of unnatural substances almost as soon as they are born, and we as a people have become drug-dependent at every stage of our lives! Drugs have become our escape both from the realities of life, and from the natural punishments resulting from our deviant behavior. But that escape is only a temporary illusion. For we know not what greater damage we have brought upon ourselves as a result of our turn away from God and towards our own devices.

When man was created, he was told that every green herb was for him for food. There are thousands of natural remedies that are useful for alleviating various ailments or which act as preventatives for those ailments. Very little capital is put into the development of the knowledge of these remedies. The reasons for this are solely economic: investment bankers cannot control what grows out of the ground, and once the studies are done and the results published, the prospects for financial profit are quite dim – the people will simply grow their own product! Instead, pharmaceutical companies scour the globe looking for naturally-occurring substances, especially in the plant world, and examine what those substances may be good for. Once a

discovery is made, the drug companies strive to guard the information, and then they synthesize the substance, hoping to then profit from it once they patent it. Christians, in turning away from Yahweh their God, have now put their health and their lives into the hands of the anti-Christ jews: and what good will ever come from that? None whatsoever!

Pharmaceuticals and the laws of clean and unclean foods.

Whatever you ingest into your body in any man-

ner is, in essence, a food. Even the ancients knew that the blood contained digested foods which then circulated throughout the body, nourishing its members (see Livy, History



of Rome, 2.32.9-12). Injecting pharmaceuticals into your bloodstream is the same as injecting them into your cellular food supply: you are eating them. These pharmaceuticals, and especially vaccines, contain agents which you may otherwise never eat. Mercury, for instance, or cultures from the dead body parts of unclean animals, are regularly used in vaccine production. These all violate the laws handed down to Christians by Yahweh their God, and these laws should still be governing Christians unto this day. By receiving injections, one is eating unclean foods, even though he is bypassing the usual digestive process. And to consider the very nature of these unclean foods used in the production of vaccines and other medications, any normal person would grow ill at even the thought of eating such things!

Very strangely, the word *pharmakos* also had another use in ancient Greek, and Liddell & Scott offer another definition for that other sense of the word: “II. *one who is sacrificed as an atonement for others, a scape-goat*, Aristophanes; and, since worthless fellows were reserved for this fate, *pharmakos* became a general name of reproach, Iliad, Demosthenes.” When are Christians going to learn, that Yahshua Christ is their only true *pharmakon*? All pharmaceuticals are therefore unChristian, and contrary to the laws and the will of Yahweh our God. (To be continued)

Born Under Contract

Clifton A. Emahiser

Imagine yourself being born, and when you took your first breath, you found yourself under a binding legal obligation emanating from your ancestors which you cannot, in any way, annul. As a matter of fact, if you are a member of a certain group of people, you have several contracts by which you must abide which will affect every major phase and all the decisions of your life. There is only one group of people in the entire world who are born with this obligation on their physical, mental and spiritual beings. And, as much as anyone might want to find a way to disengage himself from the provisions of these contracts, he finds himself entirely helpless to do so. He cannot decide he doesn't want to be under the terms of these contracts, nor can others who are not under them decide they want to be included therein. If you have been designated a party under the terms, you really have no choice in the matter but to comply. It is not open for invitation, and you don't have an option. If one does not comply with the terms of the accord, every means will be applied to bring him back into compliance with that Covenant. One cannot plead ignorance to the existence of these contracts as ignorance is no excuse. Because these binding contracts play such an important role in our lives, it will be the object here to explain them and the penalties incurred for not keeping the terms as prescribed. It is my hope here to show how futile it is to fight these conditions under which we find ourselves obligated from the time of our birth. 1 Corinthians 6:19-20, says in part:

"... and ye are not your own? For ye are bought with a price ..."

But, with this passage, we are getting much too far ahead of our story, for we must go back to the beginning to get the concept of what all of this is about.

Preamble To The Contract

While it was not the contract or Covenant in itself, the intention to give it is foreshadowed in Genesis 13:16. The recipients of this proposed covenant were to be **EXCLUSIVELY** Abram (Abraham) and his descendants:

"And I will make thy seed as the dust of the earth: so that if a man can number the dust of the earth, then shall thy seed be also numbered."

It is paramount we should observe, in Yahweh calling Abraham, He dealt with one individual and his family. (I will be using the Tetragrammaton throughout, including quotes.) In other words, Yahweh was the party of the first part, and Abraham and his family were the party of the second part. In this passage, the parties of the Covenant contract were established. Nowhere are we told Yahweh ever made a covenant with any other people. It should be noted that Yahweh chose Abraham, not the other way around. It was Yahweh who was calling the terms of the contract. In subsequent covenants, the definition of Abraham's immediate family seed would be narrowed down and clarified. When we examine Scripture, this Covenant is definitely speaking of Abraham's descendants through Isaac and Jacob; not Hagar, Ishmael, Keturah or Esau.



YAHWEH'S PRIMARY COVENANT WITH ABRA-

HAM This contract is spelled out more fully in Genesis 15:5:

"And he brought him forth abroad, and said, Look now toward heaven, and tell the stars, if thou be able to number them: and he said unto him, So shall thy seed be."

Before receiving this promise from Yahweh, Abraham asked how this thing could be, for his only legal heir was his servant Eliezer of Damascus. Whereupon, Yahweh declared that Eliezer would not be Abraham's heir, rather his own flesh and blood. As with all contracts, there are two or more parties involved; but the conditions set forth in this one are quite unusual. At this point, all that was left was to finalize the agreement as described in Genesis 15:9-10:

"9 And he (Yahweh) said unto him (Abram), Take me an heifer of three years old, and a she goat of three years old, and a ram of three years old, and a turtledove, and a young pigeon. 10 And he took unto him all these, and divided them in the midst, and laid each piece one against another: but the birds divided he not."

This legal process is described in the *Believer's Bible Commentary* by William MacDonald, page 53,

where he quotes a footnote from David Baron's *The New Order of the Priesthood*, pages 9-10:

"According to the ancient Eastern manner of making a covenant, both the contracting parties passed through the divided pieces of the slain animals, thus symbolically attesting that they pledged their very lives to the fulfilment of the engagement they made (see Jeremiah 34:18, 19). Now in Genesis 15, Yahweh alone, whose presence was symbolized by the smoking furnace and lamp of fire, passed through the midst of the pieces of the slain animals, while Abram was simply a spectator of this wonderful exhibition of Yahweh's free grace."

There is a very similar comment in *The Wycliffe Bible Commentary*, page 21, comparable to David Baron's quotation above and essentially says the same thing. Again, Jamieson, Fausset & Brown make a very similar observation concerning this passage in their *Commentary On The Whole Bible*, page 27, of which I will quote only one sentence: "The patriarch did not pass between the sacrifice and the reason was that in this transaction he was bound to nothing."

When Yahweh made this Covenant with Abram, Yahweh put Abram into a half-conscious trance so he could witness the event, but not be an active participant. Normally, each party in the contract was required by law to walk between the divided carcasses. It was saying, in effect: *"So let it be done to me as these divided animals if I keep not the terms of this contract."* In this particular contract, Yahweh walked alone between the slain animals, thus making it a one-way (unilateral) compact; Yahweh promising everything, with nothing being required of Abram. Thus, all the obligations of the agreement were placed solely upon Yahweh. This signified that it was an unconditional Covenant dependent for fulfilment upon Yahweh alone. Rousas John Rushdoony, in his book *The Institutes of Biblical Law*, couldn't be more mistaken when he said, page 44: *"Abraham was required to pass between the divided pieces of slain animals ..."* This is just the opposite of what really happened, for Yahweh walked this path by Himself. We have to consider: if Yahweh hasn't literally fulfilled this promise of "many seed" by this time, He is not faithful to His Word. If He has kept His Word, then somewhere in the world today there must be a people so numerous they would be impossible to count.

We can know for a certainty this unconditional Covenant by Yahweh was directed only toward the descendants Isaac and Jacob-Israel, for it is recorded in verses 13-14, where it refers to Israel's sojourn in Egypt. None of Abraham's other chil-

dren fit this criteria, so, we are assured beyond all doubt, this Covenant was for Israel, and Israel only. Therefore, all of Abraham's full-blooded descendants through Isaac and Jacob fall under this contract, which is a covenant in perpetuity. This Covenant Yahweh signed, sealed and witnessed with Abram, his friend. Once ratified, it could not be abrogated; it was to be in effect forever.

COVENANT REAFFIRMED

(Genesis 17:4-7)

Thirteen years later, Yahweh reappeared to Abram with a reassurance, a challenge and a richer promise. This restatement, like the first promise, was to his seed through Isaac and Jacob; not to the Ishmaelites or Edomites or some kind of spiritual church or a process of spiritual adoption.

"4 As for me, behold, my covenant is with thee, and thou shall be a father of many nations. 5 Neither shall thy name any more be called Abram, but thy name shall be Abraham; for a father of many nations have I made thee. 6 And I will make thee exceeding fruitful, and I will make nations of thee, and kings shall come out of thee. 7 And I will establish my covenant between me and thee and thy seed after thee in their generations for an everlasting covenant, to be an Elohim unto thee, and to thy seed after thee."

"Abraham turned and saw a ram caught in the thicket"



ABRAHAMIC COVENANT CONFIRMED

In Genesis 22:15-18, Yahweh made a second declaration of His Covenant with Abraham after Isaac was offered on the altar. This passage reads as follows:

"15 And the angel of Yahweh called unto Abraham out of heaven the second time, 16 And said, By myself have I sworn, saith Yahweh, for because thou hast done this thing, and hast not withheld thy son, thine only son 17 That in blessing I will bless thee, and in multiplying I will multiply thy seed as the stars of the heaven, and as the sand which is upon the sea shore; and thy seed shall possess the gate of his enemies; 18 And in thy seed shall all the [Israel] nations of the earth be blessed; because thou hast obeyed my voice." [Other nations get the crumbs only, Matt. 15:27.]

For comment on this second ratification of the contract, I will quote from Howard B. Rand's *Primo-genesis*, page 82: *which he substituted for*



Isaac upon the altar and offered a burnt-offering to Yahweh. But Isaac had been laid upon the altar and, according to the law, anything that touches the altar becomes from that time forward separated unto Yahweh. It cannot be taken back again by him who has laid it

there. By this act Abraham dedicated Isaac [and his seed] to the service of Yahweh.” [Romans 9:7; Galatians 4:28,29]

At that very moment, Isaac and his offspring became the personal property of Yahweh. By the act of placing Isaac on the altar, Yahweh was now in a legal position to bless or chastise Isaac and his progeny (less Esau) in any manner necessary in order to keep them under the terms of the Covenant. Not only this, but Isaac’s offspring would inherit the strategic sea-gates of the world; Suez, Gibraltar, Aden, Singapore, Malacca, Cape of Good Hope, Falklands, Dover and Panama. Though some gates may be lost for a while, they will be returned to Isaac’s sons. (Genesis 22:17)

A RACE DEDICATED

Again, for more comment on this second ratification of this contract, I will quote from Howard B. Rand’s *Primo-genesis*, page 82:

“Through Isaac an entire race was dedicated to Yahweh’s service, for from that day forward Isaac’s seed became Yahweh’s. In the utterances of the prophets and throughout the whole Bible, in the story it tells, this fact is fully set forth. Failure to recognize the fact that a race has been dedicated to serve has prevented thousands from understanding the statements concerning a servant people who would become witnesses to Yahweh’s glory throughout the ages. As the story unfolds, the significance of the history of this race — today represented in the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples — will become more apparent. The knowledge of their activities, as they fulfill ancient prophecies, will further establish the accuracy of the Bible story.”

COVENANT CONFIRMED WITH JACOB

Upon realizing he had lost his birthright, Esau threatened to kill Jacob as soon as his father Isaac had died. Rebecca, hearing of this, sent Jacob to his uncle Laban at Padanaram until Esau’s anger abated, and also to seek a wife as charged by Isaac. On his journey, one evening having no bed, he placed his head on a stone to rest. Entering sleep, Yahweh appeared to him in a vision. In his dream, Jacob saw a ladder which reached from earth to heaven with angels ascending and descending. At the top of the stairs

was Yahweh Almighty Himself. Yahweh said to Jacob, Genesis 28:13-15:

“13 ... I am Yahweh the Mighty One of Abraham thy father, and the Mighty One of Isaac: the land whereon thou liest, to thee will I give it, and to thy seed. 14 And thy seed shall be as the dust of the earth, and thou shalt spread abroad to the west, and to the east, and to the north, and to the south: and in thee and in thy seed shall all the [Israel] families of the earth be blessed [i.e., Mark 7:27-28]. 15 And, behold, I am with thee, and will keep thee in all places whither thou goest, and will bring thee again into this land; for I will not leave thee, until I have done that which I have spoken to thee of.”



Awakening in the morning, Jacob knew he had been in the presence of Yahweh. He then took the stone he had used as a pillow, set it up as a pillar and consecrat-

ed it as “Bethel”, the House of The Almighty. Therefore, the STONE bore the holy anointing of Yahweh. To claim that Palestine alone was to be the place of the covenanted expansion promised to Jacob and his seed is to fail to recognize that Jacob was in Palestine when told his seed would spread abroad in all directions of the compass. For anyone still under the illusion that Palestine is the only “promised land” should research this passage again. Jacob then vowed a vow: (1) If Yahweh would be with him and keep him in the way he went, (2) If Yahweh would provide bread to eat and raiment to wear, (3) If Yahweh would bring him back home in peace, (4) Then shall Yahweh be his El, (5) This pillar which he set up shall be the House of El, and (6) In all his increase he would give Yahweh a tenth. Question: Was Jacob just speaking for himself on the six items above, or speaking for all of his progeny?

COVENANT REAFFIRMATION TO JACOB

After spending many years in the land of Haran, Jacob collected all the numerous possessions he had acquired, along with his wives and children, and quietly left the house of Laban. On his return to the land of his fathers, the angels of Yahweh came to meet him. And, he called the place Mahanaim,

which means “two hosts” or “camps.” While contemplating meeting Esau, who years before had threatened to kill him, Jacob separated himself from his family by sending them across the brook Jabbok, where he stayed alone and prayed for Yahweh’s help. Jacob, being alone, perceived being touched by a man, actually an angel messenger, with whom he wrestled all night until morning. Being frightened to face Esau, Jacob would not let go of the angel of Yahweh until he blessed him. Not being able to overcome Jacob, the angel touched him on his thigh, which in turn came out of joint. Jacob admitting his name meant supplanter, the angel said: (“Thy name shall be called no more Jacob, but Israel: for as a prince hast thou power with Yahweh and prevailed”), Genesis 32:12, 28:

“12 And thou saidst, I will surely do thee good, and make thy seed as the sand of the sea, which cannot be numbered for multitude ... 28 And he said, Thy name shall be called no more Jacob, but Israel: for as a prince hast thou power with Yahweh and with men, and hast prevailed.”

Following this, Jacob and Esau made a precarious, short-lived reconciliation. Upon Jacob journeying on to Bethel, Yahweh renewed His Covenant with him. It was not a new covenant, but the same Covenant He had made with Abraham and Isaac before him, Genesis 35:11:

“And Yahweh said unto him (Jacob), I am Yahweh Almighty: be fruitful and multiply; a nation and a company of nations shall be of thee, and kings shall come out of thy loins.”

YAHWEH’S CHOSEN PEOPLE

From the foregoing, it should be quite obvious Yahweh does have a “chosen people.” As a matter of fact, Yahweh chose his people, and in no way can one choose Him. They may choose to serve Him, but cannot choose Him personally. For, He has already made the choice of choosing us, and we have no say in the matter. To back up this statement, I will quote Deuteronomy 7:6:

“For thou art an holy [set apart] people unto Yahweh thy El: Yahweh thy El hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all the people that are upon the face of the earth.”

For more witnesses that this is speaking of Israel only, let’s consider the following passages:

Isaiah 41:8: *“But thou Israel art my servant, Jacob whom I have chosen, the seed of Abraham my friend.”*

Isaiah 43:10: *“Ye are my witnesses, saith Yahweh, and my servant whom I have chosen ...”*

Isaiah 44:1-2: *“Yet now hear, O Jacob my servant; and Israel whom I have chosen: 2 Thus saith Yahweh that made thee, and formed thee from the womb, which will help thee; Fear not O Jacob my servant; and thou Jesurun whom I have chosen.”*

FALSE DOCTRINE OF BEING BORN AGAIN

While it is not our desire to ridicule anyone’s prayer to Yahweh for repentance such as found in 2 Chronicles 7:14, or any effort one might put forth to amend one’s ways, but the doctrine of being “born again” cannot be found in Scripture. I am



sure many might be quick to quote John 3:3 where it says: *“Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of Yahweh.”* Actually, this passage does not say “born again”, but “born from above.” You’ll have to admit there is a world of difference between being *born again* and being

born from above. Being born from above simply does not imply being born again. You can check almost any Bible commentary and it will confirm “born from above” is a correct rendering. It may also be rendered “from the beginning.” It was Nicodemus only who didn’t understand this, and churches, as a whole, have taken the same position he did. While the churches do not go to the extent of saying one must reenter one’s mother’s womb, they take another erroneous position. Nominal churchianity takes the position: if a person, (and he can be from any race) chooses Jesus Christ as his personal Savior and believes on Him, he can enter the Kingdom, and somehow this new candidate is regenerated or “born again” of the Spirit. That this passage should have been translated “born from above” is illustrated in Matthew Pool’s *A Commentary On The Holy Bible*, volume 3, page 290:

“The word translated ‘again’ is ‘another’ which often signified ‘from above’ ...”

Comparing verse 3 to 31, we can plainly see it should have been translated “from above” as it uses the same Greek word #509:

John 3:31: *“He that cometh from above is above all: he that is of the earth is earthy, and speaketh of the earth: he that cometh from heaven is above all.”*



Now that we can understand that the expression “born again” is a mistranslation, let’s take a better look at this passage found in John 3:1-21. What we have here is a man of the Pharisee sect by the

name of Nicodemus coming to the Messiah by night to inquire more concerning the kingdom of Yahweh. No doubt, Nicodemus was a good man and a true Israelite, for he defended Yahshua at his trial, John 7:50-51, and attended, with Joseph of Arimathaea at His burial, John 19:38-40. This was part of the conversation our Anointed One had with him, John 3:3-7:

“3 Yahshua answered and said unto him, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born from above, he cannot see the kingdom of Yahweh. 4 Nicodemus saith unto him, How can a man be born when he is old? can he enter the second time into his mother’s womb, and be born? 5 Yahshua answered, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born of water and of the Spirit, he cannot enter into the kingdom of Yahweh. 6 That which is born of the flesh is flesh; and that which is born of the Spirit is spirit. 7 Marvel not that I said unto thee, Ye must be born from above.”

What we have in this passage is a paraphrase, which is defined in the dictionary as a restatement of the meaning of a passage in different words. In other words, the secondary statement is a restatement using different words to help define the meaning of the primary statement. A paraphrase is two parallel statements saying the same thing, but in different words. Actually, what we have in this passage are three statements in parallel. Now that we know what a paraphrase is, let’s examine the (1) primary, (2) secondary, and (3) the third-parallel statements of this passage. In verse 3, the expression *“Except a man be born from above”* implies an additional birth other than a physical birth. So the first statement suggests a natural birth, plus a birth “from above.” Being a paraphrase, the secondary statement must repeat the same message, but with different words. In the secondary statement of verse 5, it speaks of water and spirit. In the third-parallel statement of verse 6, it speaks of flesh and spirit. There-

fore, the physical birth implied in verse 3 is the same as the water and flesh of verses 5 and 6, and the “born from above” of verse 3 is the same as the Spirit and spirit of verses 5 and 6. The parallel is: (1) natural birth, water, flesh (2) born from above. Spirit, in other words, the natural birth of verse 3 is the same as water and flesh, and the “born from above” of verse 3 is the same as Spirit and spirit. Once we understand the parallel of the natural birth, we soon understand the water surrounding the child breaking and producing a body of flesh. When we further understand the “born from above”, we then comprehend a birth of the Spirit by the Spirit [of Yahweh]. The “water” in this passage has nothing to do with baptism!

Some say the Spirit-birth happened in preexistence, which may have some merit. Whether or not such a thing is true, we can be sure the Spirit-birth happened when Yahweh breathed the breath of life into Adam. Therefore, Adam became a spirit-man (living soul), and the father of a race of spirit-men and spirit-women. Throughout the Bible, it differentiates between earth-men and spirit-men. The Adam-man was the only race born with the Spirit of Yahweh. The other races are born of the flesh, but not the Spirit. Once we understand this, we can comprehend such verses as 1 Corinthians 2:14, which reads:

“But the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of Yahweh: for they are foolishness unto him: neither can he know them because they are spiritually discerned.”

The next important statement made by the Messiah to Nicodemus is recorded in John 3:10 when He said to him:


“Art thou a master of Israel, and knowest not these things?”

This should give us a pretty good idea that what Yahshua told Nicodemus he ought to have known, can be found somewhere in the Old Testament. It is also possible the “Spirit” spoken of in John 3:2-8 may have significance overlooked by many. While we know it is true concerning Adam being a spirit-man, this passage may be speaking of something beyond this in scope. Let’s take a look at Ezekiel 36:26-27:

“26 A new heart also will I give you, and a new spirit will I put within you: and I will take away the stony heart out of your flesh, and I will give

you a heart of flesh. 27 And I will put my spirit within you, and cause you to walk in my statutes, and ye shall keep my judgments and do

It should be noted in the passage just quoted, that it is directed only to Israelites, for they alone received the statutes and judgments. With all of this, it should become quite clear what is meant by being “born from above.” It should be quite obvious, when it is speaking of the “water”, it is speaking of the natural birth process and not baptism. It should also be quite evident, that the being “born again” doctrine taught in the mainstream churches is not at all the Spirit-birth taught in Scripture.



Nicodemus, hearing word rumored about concerning the Messiah’s teaching of the Kingdom, decided to investigate the matter with Yahshua Himself. We have to imagine poor, old Nicodemus when he misunderstood he might have

to reenter his mother’s womb to gain entrance thereto. What a strange way to enter the Kingdom of Yahweh he must have thought. He was probably familiar with the usual civil laws for entering a country by the right of birth in an earthly kingdom. But, to enter the Kingdom of Yahweh, he finds he must enter by the right of the Spirit-birth breathed by Yahweh. He was informed: “... *the natural man receiveth not the things of the Spirit of Yahweh*” (1 Corinthians. 2:14). In Nicodemus’ eyes, the Messiah introduced a whole new (but really old) concept of the Kingdom of Yahweh (John 3:6) of flesh and spirit. Every Adamite has two births: one from earth, one from above; one of his body and one of his spirit. Without the first, he cannot enjoy the earth; without the last, he cannot see or enjoy the Kingdom of Yahweh. The one is visible; the second is invisible. [Gal. 4:28-31]

THE INVISIBLE KINGDOM

After Messiah explained to Nicodemus both the physical and spirit dimensions of the Kingdom, in John 3:8, He goes on to compare the Spirit to the phenomena of the wind:

“The wind bloweth where it listeth, and thou hearest the sound thereof, but canst not tell whence it cometh, and whither it goeth: so is every one that is born of the Spirit.”

While the wind blows in a variety of directions, and we can hear its sound, perceive its operation in the motion of the trees and even feel its touch, we cannot discern the air itself. The motion of the wind is imperceptible, but we can gauge it by its risings, fallings and changes of directions. We can only know that it exists by the effects which it produces. Like natural birth, the Spirit reproduces, by the law “after its kind.” Miscegenation, therefore, brings death to the Spirit. In Scripture, both in Greek and Hebrew, the words “spirit” and “breathed” are constantly brought together. Therefore, inasmuch as Yahweh breathed into Adam His breath of life, they are both of the same Spirit.

As the Kingdom’s coming is imperceptible, Yahshua said, Luke 17:20:

“The kingdom of Yahweh cometh not with observation.” Truly, the Kingdom must be reconciled with the Covenant, for we are legally His from



Harold Stough Notes

Bible Chronology To Reveal The Messianic Line

For the first 2,000 years or from Adam to Abraham, there is a record of an unbroken line of descent, and of one **ONLY**, in which line the chronology is accurately preserved by the simple expedient of giving the father's age, in each generation when that **PARTICULAR SON** was born, through whom the line was to be continued, the father's age at the birth of others of his sons being **NEVER** given. This peculiarity is the more remarkable when it

is further noted that, so far as appears, it was not the oldest son that was chosen in any instance. Seth was not the oldest son of Adam, nor Shem of Noah, nor Abram of Terah, nor Isaac of Abraham, nor Jacob of Isaac, nor Judah of Jacob, nor David of Jesse. Evidently Primogeniture did not enter into the matter at all. This is very remarkable, particularly in view of the importance given by the Hebrews to the first-born (Gen.49:3). Regarding this, while tables as of

Cain are given there are no dates. Ct. Seth's. So Japheth and Ham are given in Gen 10 (and before them Shem as Cain before Seth) yet there is not so much as one chronological fact set forth in connection with their names. Even Moses in writing these did not give his own chronology for he knew it was of no importance he not being in the Messianic Line, this although his personal pride may have led him to do it.

Pork Is Not Food

William Finck

Christogenea.org - 4th May, 2009

Pastor V. S. Herrell recently posted an article, "A Kraut of Krauts", which not only condones, but even promotes the consumption of swine, using poor translations and interpretations of several New Testament passages in order to do so. In the article he also slanders all those who may disagree with him, by labeling them with the "Judaizer", "mongrel" or "jew" epithets as a cunning device in order to somehow discredit his adversaries before they could even reply. Now Herrell may be full of tough talk, but if he called me a "mongrel" or a "jew" to my face I'd be willing to go out into a back lot with him at any time to settle the matter man to man.



I'm not attempting threats of violence or a display of boasting or zealous bravado here, but would only like to get one thing straight up front: I will not be intimidated by Herrell's arrogance. And my ancestors having come from a small village in the Rhineland, I am just as much of a "kraut" as he is. Herrell's article makes many other mistakes concerning the history of the White race, and also ridiculously associates the issue of swine with race and aesthetics, as if insinuating that all beautiful White people must eat pork, or one cannot possibly be beautiful or White! However those other errors shall not be addressed here.

Isaiah Chapter 66 contains a prophecy that most reasonable men agree is an end-times prophecy.


In the middle of this prophecy, at verse 17, we find the following: "They that sanctify themselves, and purify themselves in the gardens behind one *tree* in the midst, eating swine's flesh, and the abomination, and the mouse, shall be consumed together, saith the LORD." The KJV, NAS, and the Septuagint are all quite similar here, so I have supplied the reading of the KJV. Isaiah's prophecy concerns the dispersed children of Israel, who are those same Germanic pagans eating swine's flesh in pagan idol-groves which he is describing! Yet it must be known that Herrell is a Preterist: one who believes that all Biblical prophecy was fulfilled by 70 AD and the destruction of Jerusalem. So in Herrell's errant view of the Bible, none of the Old or New Testament prophecies could possibly be referring to any one of us today. His preterist views have seriously adverse affects on his interpretation of all things Biblical.

The crux of Herrell's argument promoting the consumption of swine is centered around the discourse of Yahshua Christ found at Matthew 15:16-18. Herrell quotes his own AST translation of this passage, and then he paraphrases it, as follows:

Are you yet also unintelligent? Do you not yet perceive that everything entering into the mouth goes to the stomach, and it is thrown into the toilet? But the things coming forth from the mouth come forth from the heart, and those make the man common' (Matt. 15:16-18 AST).

"Once again, we could paraphrase Christ, and He would say, 'Are you so stupid that you cannot understand that when you eat meat it goes

through your digestive tract and winds up in the toilet. Meat is just an inanimate object. It does



not make you impure or defile you or make you evil. Evil comes out of your own heart; out of your own thoughts, wherein you are maladjusted and in rebellion to the law of God, which is in Christ Jesus.”

Now, was Yahshua Christ truly talking about “meat” at Matthew 15:16-18, as Herrell is claiming? While not all of the gospel accounts of everything which Yahshua Christ did and said are exactly alike, they are all records of the same or similar events, and they must all be considered true – provided the oldest and best manuscripts are the sources being used, and that there is agreement among them. One writer often recorded things a little differently than another, having his own priorities in the importance of recording what was done or said for posterity. While one writer remembered one statement which stood out in his mind, another recorded something else, or even the same thing but a little differently. Yet each account is representative of the truth of the matters. Therefore it is dishonest to build a doctrine upon any single passage in the Bible without first examining all of the related passages and considering all implications. So here I shall not address Matthew’s account, which contains neither the words “meat” nor “food”, but rather the parallel account given by Mark, found in chapter seven.

Here is Mark 7:17-19, from the Christogenea New Testament: “17 And when He had entered into a house away from the crowd, His students asked Him the parable. 18 And He says to them: ‘Thusly also are you without understanding? Do you not perceive that everything from outside entering into the man is not able to defile him 19 because it does not enter into his heart but into the belly, and it goes out into the latrine, cleansing all foods?’”

In Mark’s account, the word “food” occurs. Now some translations may have “meat”, however the word is (broma), *that which is eaten, food*, as opposed to (kreas), *flesh, meat, a piece*

of meat. So it is evident that Yahshua Christ was talking in His discourse about food in general, and not of meat specifically, but especially not of unclean meats, which, as we shall see, cannot be considered *food* if they are not something which is normally eaten. Now the question is this: is pork, or swine, really considered to be food by the apostles and other Hebrew Israelites who heard His discourse? If this were so, then if Yahshua advocated the consumption of swine – contrary to His own laws in the Old Testament, why would Peter still show concern for such things, as we see it is recorded at Acts 10:14: “And Petros said “Not at all, master, because not ever have I eaten anything profane and unclean.” Certainly, Peter still considered many things to be “unclean”, and therefore not “food”, long after Yahshua Christ had been resurrected. Was Peter also a mongrel, as Herrell would consider all of us today who are concerned with such things? Or does Herrell not rather have evil arguments and blasphemies coming forth from out of his own heart?

While V. S. Herrell and I would fully agree on issues concerning race, the importance of purity of blood, the jews themselves, and perhaps other things, I would hesitate before following him in anything without scrutiny, yet that same scrutiny must be applied to any teacher: Study to show thyself approved, and follow no man blindly.

What follows is a section of my paper, William Finck vs. the Paul-Bashers, which is available at:

http://christogenea.org/Index_files/HeresyEssays.htm.

It addresses this same issue, with another who had argued it for reasons entirely different than Herrell’s.

Section 75 Clayton Douglas states: “*Did you know it was Saul/Paul who taught, ‘One person may have faith enough to eat any kind of food; another less strong, will eat only vegetables.’ It was his messages which convinced the world that it was now ‘perfectly OK’ to eat, well, just about anything you felt like eating ... in DIRECT VIOLATION OF GOD’S DIETARY LAWS. God’s Dietary Laws were not handed down to you to make your life difficult. God gave them to you to PROTECT YOU from sickness and disease. Paul didn’t care much about what God*

wanted. ‘Let them eat Pork’ became Paul’s motto. And, so God’s People became sick ... and confused. More poisoning courtesy of The Serpent.”

Peter’s Vision




In reply to section 75: Again Clayton Douglas is a fabricator of lies, for Paul never spoke about the eating of pork, never mind Douglas’ false claim that he approved of it! The scripture to which Douglas refers here is found in Romans chapter 14, and he apparently

paraphrased v. 14:3. Again, notice that he does not state as much. But because Paul said that all foods may be eaten, does that mean that he advocated eating pork? Certainly not! For if pork is not normally considered food, then it cannot be included in the category of “all foods”, even if we today do consider it to be so. Pork was not considered “food” to first century Judaeans, nor to many first century Greeks. Although earthworms and roaches are edible and contain nourishment, I certainly would not eat them even if people of other cultures do, and so I would not consider them to be “food”. Neither do I consider swine to be “food”, even though many people today do, and so I do not eat swine, and furthermore I do not consider Paul’s statement at Romans 14 as any sort of encouragement or commission to eat swine, knowing that Paul is talking about food, which swine is not! As we can fully discern from Romans chapter 14 and from 1 Corinthians chapter 10, early Christians were at odds as to whether they should eat any flesh, or meat, at all. This was for cultural reasons, and not because anything in the Scripture promoted vegetarianism. If we are ignorant of Greco-Roman history and culture, neither can we discern the context in which the gospels and epistles of the New Testament were written! We would all be as ignorant as Clayton Douglas!

Greek temples were not merely places where pagan rituals and the worship of false gods were conducted. They also served as centers for community, lounges and restaurants, centers for organized prostitution, banks and other things. Greek city-dwellers took many of their meals at

these temples, drank, and often participated in the other activities in which these temples engaged. Markets were attached to the temples, where animals could be purchased to make sacrifices to the idol, or where meat from sacrificed animals could be purchased. Some of these practices were even conducted at the Temple in Jerusalem (i.e. John 2:15). Such was the dilemma of first century Christians in Greece and Rome, where it was difficult to find meat which had not been sacrificed to an idol: to a false pagan god. Such was the reason for Paul’s discourses at Romans 14 and 1 Corinthians 10:14-31, which in Paul’s perspective (contrary to Clayton Douglas) had nothing to do with swine!



Additionally, we see in Strabo’s *Geography* that swine was not accepted at all Greek temples, and that of the temples of Aphrodite swine was accepted at only a few (9.5.17). The famous temple of Aphrodite at Corinth was not among those which accepted swine. Strabo

himself considered the eating of swine to be unclean (12.8.9), and tells us that at Comana in Pontus swine weren’t even allowed into the city. So in the Greek world, we see division on this issue in the pages of Strabo. Clayton Douglas, the Man of Scoffing, understands none of this, and like most so-called Christians today, takes Paul’s statements in these chapters entirely out of context. Paul never advocated or approved the consumption of swine’s flesh.

**Clifton A.
Emahiser’s
Non-Universal Teaching
Ministries**
**1012 N. Vine Street ,
Fostoria , OH 44830**
**Ph. 419.435.2836;
Fax 419.435.7571; E-mail**
caemahiser@sbcglobal.net

A Simple Refutation of The Rapture

Judgment Day Perspectives

By Eli James

Unfortunately, the Protestant world (and some Catholics too) has become enamoured of a very sinister deception. It is called the “Rapture Theory.” The Rapture Theory promotes the idea that “faithful Christians” will be spared the tribulations of the Judgment Day. Somehow, whether it is through the medium of space ships taking them to outer space or angels whisking them from this corporeal reality into the astral plane, these Christians believe that they are not required to defend the Faith against the enemies of Jesus Christ. Rather, they believe that all they have to do is wait around for the day that they will be rescued from all of this.



Friends, there is hardly a more pernicious idea pervading Christendom than this one, because it has had the effect of neutralizing all those Christians who should be working for the Kingdom. Instead, they have become uninvolved in their own salvation.

Let's look at the Scriptures whence this doctrine arises:

Matthew, Chapter 24: *“But as the days of Noah were, so shall also the coming of the Son of man be. For as in the days that were before the flood they were eating and drinking, marrying and giving in marriage, until the day that Noah entered into the ark, and knew not until the flood came and took them all away; so shall also be the coming of the Son of man. Then shall two be in the field: the one shall be taken, and the other left. Two women shall be grinding at the mill; the one shall be taken, and the other left. Watch therefore: for ye know not what hour your Lord cometh.”* -- Verses 37-42

This description is **assumed** by the rapturists to say the following: *The good Christians (the rapturists) will be taken and the bad Christians and/or non-Christians will be “left behind” to endure the tribulation. The rapturists will be taken away to some nether world where they will be sustained until it is all over. Perhaps they will even be able to observe the Great Tribulation unfolding on some screen like some exciting docudrama. Then they will be returned to earth to pick up the pieces and to presumably rebuild and rule.* This is the essence of the Rapture Theory. I haven't seen the movie, *Left Behind*, but I'm sure it paints the same scenario.

What are these people missing?

In the verses quoted above, it is clear that Jesus is comparing the future tribulation with the days of Noah just before the Flood “took them all away.” So, let's look at those verses and see what they say:

“And Yahweh said unto Noah, Come thou and all thy house into the ark; for in thee have I seen righteousness before me in this generation.” - Gen: 7-Verse 1

“And every living substance was destroyed which was upon the face of the ground, both man and cattle, and the creeping things, and the fowl of heaven; and they were destroyed from the earth: and only Noah remained alive, and they that were with him in the ark. – Gen: 7-Verse 23.

Now, note very carefully the use of the word “remained.” Unless I do not understand the English language, “remained” and “left behind” are synonymous. Noah and his family were the ones who remained and the others were taken away by the Flood. Is there any doubt as to who was taken versus who was left behind on the earth? It is crystal clear that it is the evil ones who were taken away by the Flood and the righteous ones who were left behind on the earth.

Now, let's go back to Matthew.

Verse 37 tells us, *“As in the days of Noah...”* Jesus is making a direct comparison between the coming tribulation and the bygone days of Noah. The evil ones were doing absolutely nothing to prepare for the day of trouble. It was

“party hearty” time. Only the good people were making preparations.

The rapturists cannot be compared to the good people because they are doing absolutely nothing to prepare for the day. They may not be actively sinning, but they are certainly not promoting God’s Kingdom. They have, in fact, become fat, lazy Christians. The only “preparation” they are making is assuming their righteousness. In fact, they are merely engaged in business as usual, like Noah’s contemporaries “*eating and drinking, marrying, giving in marriage,*” etc. The revelers of Noah’s time were blissfully going about their daily activities, disobeying God’s commandment to establish His Kingdom here on earth.

Verses 40 and 41 tell us, “*Then shall two be in the field; the one shall be taken, and the other left. Two women shall be grinding at the mill; the one shall be taken, and the other left.*”



Now, given the context that Jesus clearly ascribes back to the days of Noah, who is “taken” and who is “left behind?” It is clear that the people who were left behind here on earth were the good people of Noah’s family. The ones who were “taken away” were the evil ones of the surrounding country. They were **taken away by death**. There is no other possible interpretation.

The rapturists, in order to purvey their doctrine, must **REVERSE** the clear meaning of Genesis 7 in order to interpret Matthew 40 and 41 to mean what they claim.

Jesus is telling us that the ones who will be taken, **AS IN THE DAYS OF NOAH**, will be like the evil ones who were swept away by the Flood. Contrariwise, the righteous ones, **AS IN THE DAYS OF NOAH**, were “left behind” here on earth, although they had to endure a seven month ride in the ark.

THE RAPTURISTS HAVE GOT IT BACKWARDS; and they had better start preparing for the worst, because, if you keep on reading Matthew, Chapter 24, Jesus makes it very clear who will inherit the Kingdom:

“Watch, therefore: for ye know not what hour your Lord doth come. But know this, that if the goodman of the house had known in what watch the thief would come [the rapturists don’t care when the thief will come because they assume they will be spared!], he would have watched, and would not have suffered his house to be broken up. [The rapturists are standing by, idly, while the house is being broken up.] Therefore, be ye also ready: for in such an hour as ye think not the Son of man cometh. Who then is a faithful and wise servant, whom his lord has made ruler over his household, to give them meat [instruction in the Law] in due season? Blessed is that servant, whom his lord when he cometh shall find so doing. Verily I say unto you that he shall make him ruler over all his goods. But if that evil servant shall say in his heart, My Lord delayeth his coming; and shall begin to smite his fellow servants, and to eat and drink with the drunken [as in the days of Noah]; the lord of that servant shall come in a day when he looketh not for him, and in an hour that he is not aware of, and shall cut him asunder, and appoint him his portion with the hypocrites: there shall be a weeping and a gnashing of teeth.” -- Verses 44-51.

The Rapturists belong to that very same school of non-activist, uncontentious, “don’t rock the boat” Christians (unlike those activists who founded Christianity in the face of both Roman imperialism and rabbinical persecution) who have stood by and watched the household being torn asunder by the antinomians and the “Judeo-Christians.” How can you inherit that which you have failed to protect? If you are not actively “*contending for the faith,*” i.e., working for the Kingdom here on earth as in heaven (recall the meaning of the Lord’s Prayer), then you are an evil, lazy servant. Indeed, you are no servant at all.

Jude, Verse 3, has this to say:

“Beloved, when I gave all diligence to write unto you of the common salvation [for true Israel], it was needful for me to write unto you, and exhort that ye should earnestly contend for the faith which was once delivered unto the saints [not to the antinomians and dispensationalists!].”

Notice the definite article ‘the’ in front of the word ‘faith.’ Does this mean any old faith that one might happen to entertain, or is this a reference to **THE FAITH OF OUR FATHERS, ABRAHAM, ISAAC, AND JACOB?** Unlike our modern antinomians, whose faith is in Jesus rather than the faith of Jesus, the saints of old had faith in God’s Law. In essence, modern Christianity puts more stock in the personality of Jesus, as a roving one-man cavalry, riding in to save us from ourselves. The Biblical Jesus, however, is one who exhorts us to obey His Law and to follow His example. Is modern Christianity following His example? Didn’t He overturn the tables of the moneychangers? Hasn’t modern Christianity let the moneychangers back into the temple?

“If ye love me, obey my commandments.” -- John 14:15.

Biblical Christians know that if they put their trust in Yah’s Law, the nation will prosper. (See II Chronicles 7:14.) The problem is that modern Christianity has become heretical and Pharisaic, teaching the doctrines of men instead of the Law of God.

Elaborating on this subject, in the very next Chapter, right after the parable of the wise and foolish virgins, Jesus gives us the parable of the unprofitable servant. In this parable, the master of the household gives three different servants five, two and one talents respectively. (It seems to me that the double meaning of the word ‘talent’ is really beautiful here.) The housemaster praises the two servants who doubled their talents but he rebukes the holder of the one talent because he simply buried the coin and did nothing with it.

In verses 29 and 30, Jesus tells us:


“For unto everyone that hath shall be given, and he shall have abundance: but from him that hath not shall be taken away even that which he hath. And cast ye the unprofitable servant into outer darkness: there shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth.”

I can hear the wailing and the grinding of teeth when the rapturists find out how wrong they have been.

“That the trial of your faith, being much more precious than gold that perisheth, though it be tried with fire, might be found unto praise and honor and glory at the appearing of Jesus Christ.” -- I Peter 1: 7.

Those who are doing absolutely nothing but waiting around for the Rapture, contrary to the clear admonition of our Lord to maintain His household and obey His commandments, are the very people who will be counted among the hypocrites when the Bridegroom comes to claim his Bride [Israel restored], for they assume that their faith will excuse them from the firestorm when Scripture clearly tells us that our faith is to be **tried by fire**.

“And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: That they all might be damned who believe not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness.” -- II Thess. 2: 11,12.



What greater delusion could there be than believing that mere faith, as opposed to practicing the righteousness of Yahweh’s Law, is all that is required for salvation! Did any of the prophets say that it would that easy??

The dispensationalists and antinomians who say that the Old Testament is a “Jewish book” that has no relevance to the modern “Christian” church should read the book of Job to find out what real faith is. Job lost all of his earthly possessions, including his children. After enduring all of these things, Job declared: *“Behold, happy is the man whom god correcteth: therefore despise not thou the chastening of the Almighty.”* (Job 5:10) Also: *“When He hath tried me, I shall come forth as gold.”* (23:10.) The author of the book of Job tells us: *“In all this Job sinned not, nor charged God foolishly.”* (1:22.) Of these modern, fair weather Christians, I would ask: How does your “faith” compare with Job’s? Are you absolutely, one hundred per cent positive that the Old Testament is irrelevant?

Can the rapturists, who are sitting around wasting their talents, be considered “profitable servants”? Is their “do nothing” attitude in these times of crisis acceptable to Jesus? I don’t think so! The rapturists’ delusion is one of grandiose self-flattery: “Our faith is so strong that we will be spared the fire,” they proclaim! How self-serving and convenient! On the contrary, they have substituted a delusion for the Faith, and I guarantee that they will be second in line, right

after the false priests of “Judeo-Christianity,” to be cast into the fire for their failure to heed Scriptural warnings about the true nature of the tribulation and the Judgment Day. They will be “taken away” all right, but in the same manner as the evil ones of Noah’s day. They will be taken away by death.

Finally, Matthew 10:22 puts it this way: *“And ye shall be hated of all men for my name’s sake: but he that endureth to the end shall be saved.”*

Does it say *“he that is raptured before the end shall be saved”*? And, incidentally, nobody hates the rapturists because they are the least controversial of all Christians! Since they have effectively removed themselves from the scene and from contention, nobody pays them enough attention to warrant hatred – certainly not the sworn enemies of Jesus Christ! How can you hate an “enemy” whose only plan is to leave the battlefield? Can you hear the enemy saying “Good riddance!” and “Have a nice trip”? “See you after the battle!” “Don’t bother to come back!”



In summary, I would like to say that the rapturists are Scripturally wrong on four major points: 1.) They have reversed the meaning of Jesus Christ’s prophecy regarding who will be taken and who will be left behind. 2.) They have unwittingly obviated the need for the Tribulation because they have replaced God’s Law with antinomian “faith,” thus removing the Scriptural basis (righteousness versus unrighteousness) for the Judgment Day and the very tribulation from which they falsely expect to be spared. 3.) They have fallen into a delusion of self-flattery, claiming that their vague, emotion-

laden concept of “faith,” even though it denies the validity of the Law, is sufficient for salvation. 4.) They have opted for the easy way out, contrary to the clear pronouncements of Scripture which tell us that we must be “tried by fire” and that we must “endure to the end.”

In short, they have become Christian couch potatoes whose heads are so far up in the clouds that they are no earthly good. Amen.

There will indeed be a rapture of the saints; but the “rapture” will be the joy they experience after they have endured to the end. Frankly, I wouldn’t miss it for the world. I want to savour the victory. Being “chastened,” “tried,” and “made pure as gold” should be considered an honour, not something to be avoided. Gird your loins and buckle your seatbelts, the roller coaster leaves in five minutes! Maybe sooner!

(Please share this message with any rapturists you might know. They need to hear it more than anyone else!)

For the record, here is the 1830 vision of the Scotswoman Margaret MacDonald, in her own words, which is the reputed source of the Pre-Tribulation Rapture theory. Pay special attention to the following sentence: *‘Tis Christ in us that will lift us up - he is the light - ‘tis only those that are alive in him that will be caught up to meet him in the air.* It seems that this phrase, “caught up...in the air,” has been interpreted quite literally by many to mean a pre-Tribulation Rapture “up in the sky,” but is that what she intended? I think not, for the very simple reason that it is clear that Miss MacDonald believes in a Judgment Day and trial by fire, and the vast majority of rapturists are antinomians and dispensationalists, both of which groups believe that Christians are saved by “faith only,” not by the Law (righteousness). Rather, Miss MacDonald talks about “spiritual discernment.” In what sense does the “faith only” doctrine require spiritual discernment?

The Book of Revelation clearly tells us that we will be judged *“according to our works.”* (Rev. 20:13.) In fact, the meaningfulness of our Faith depends upon the way in which our Faith is demonstrated in our actions. *“Faith without works is dead.”* – James 2:14. What good is your faith if it does not bear fruit for the Kingdom? Read her insightful vision for yourself and see if Miss MacDonald belongs in the “faith only,” pre-tribulation camp.

When Paul and the Apostles tell us that good works are not enough to save us, what they mean is that our attempts to undo all of the evil we have done as individuals and as a people could not possibly pay off the full debt that we owe to God. Instead, by His grace (mercy), He cancels a large part of that debt on our behalf when He knows that our hearts have been converted through true repentance. But those whose

hearts have not been converted will most definitely pay the price at the Judgment Day and they will be judged according to their works.

Editor's Note: Due to space consideration Miss MacDonald's description of her vision cannot be included. It can be obtained by applying to the New Ensign by e-mail.

Differences Between Butter And Margarine

Margarine was originally manufactured to fatten turkeys. When it killed the turkeys, the people who had put all the money into the research wanted a payback so they put their heads together to figure out what to do with this product to get their money back.



the flavours of other foods Butter has been around for centuries where margarine has been around for less than 100 years.

And now, for Margarine. Very high in Trans fatty acids. Triple risk of coronary heart disease.

Increases total cholesterol and LDL (this is the bad cholesterol) and lowers HDL cholesterol, (the good cholesterol). Increases the risk of cancers up to five fold.

Lowers quality of breast milk. Decreases immune response. Decreases insulin response.

And here's the most disturbing fact.... HERE IS THE PART THAT IS VERY INTERESTING!

It was a white substance with no food appeal so they added the yellow colouring and sold it to people to use in place of butter. How do you like it? They have come out with some clever new flavourings.

DO YOU KNOW...the difference between margarine and butter? Read on to the end ...gets very interesting! Both have the same amount of calories.

Butter is slightly higher in saturated fats at 8 grams compared to 5 grams. Eating margarine can increase heart disease in women by 53% over eating the same amount of butter, according to a recent Harvard Medical Study.

Eating butter increases the absorption of many other nutrients in other foods. Butter has many nutritional benefits where margarine has a few only because they are added! Butter tastes much better than margarine and it can enhance

Margarine is but ONE MOLECULE away from being PLASTIC. This fact alone was enough to have me avoiding margarine for life and anything else that is hydrogenated (this means hydrogen is added, changing the molecular structure of the substance).

You can try this yourself: Purchase a tub of margarine and leave it in your garage or shaded area.

Within a couple of days you will note a couple of things: *no flies, not even those pesky fruit flies will go near it (that should tell you something)

*it does not rot or smell differently because it has no nutritional value; nothing will grow on it. Even those teeny weenie micro-organisms will not find a home to grow. Why? Because it is nearly plastic. Would you melt your Tupperware and spread that on your toast?

Was Mary A Levite Or A Judahite?

By Pastor Eli James

Many Christian Identity believers have written to me expressing the opinion that Mary, mother of Jesus Christ, was not of the tribe of Judah but was a descendant of the Tribe of Levi. In this paper, I am going to do my best to counter this opinion and show the Biblical reasons why it is unsound. Although most of those who have expressed this opinion are concerned Christian Identity adherents, there are also those who wish to throw a monkey wrench into the works of Christianity by suggesting the idea that Jesus was NOT of the House of David, and therefore, not a Judahite.



threw 1:1:

"A genealogy of Jesus Christ, son of David, son of Abraham..."

Here is listed the statement by Matthew that Jesus is, indeed, the son of David. Christ's genealogy is given in a forward historical direction, starting from Abraham and ending with Jesus. Now, of course, this statement alone does not solve our problem because we need two or three witnesses.

The Gospel of Luke also lists Jesus Christ's genealogy, but in a backwards order, from Jesus all the way back to Yahweh, our Father:

"When he started to teach, Jesus was about thirty years old, being the son, as it was thought, of Joseph, son of Heli, son of Matthat, son of Levi...son of David...son of Judah...son of Adam, son of God." - Chapter 3, Verse 23-38.

Note that in the above quotation, the man, Levi, is mentioned. It is not mentioned whether he is a member of the Levitical priesthood or of the

tribe of Levi. He is simply mentioned as Joseph's great-grandfather.)

But since Jesus Christ's true father was the Holy Spirit, not Joseph, then we must concentrate on Mary's lineage in order to show that Jesus was,



In fact, a Judahite. If Mary is not a Judahite, then Christianity has a problem. Let's see if we can get to the bottom of this question. Going back to Matthew, we have this list of patriarchs:

"...Eliud was the father of Eleazar, Eleazar the father of Matthan, Matthan the father of Jacob; and Jacob was the father of Joseph the husband of Mary; of her was born Jesus who is called Christ." -- Verse 15 & 16.

Since these lists do not contain the same names, many critics of Scripture have claimed that this is one of the famous instances where the Bible seems to contradict itself; but I do not think so. I think what the New Testament has recorded for us is the separate genealogies of Joseph and Mary, with Matthew giving us Joseph's descent and Luke giving us Mary's line of descent. The important fact is that BOTH are of the house of David and Judah.

In Old Testament times (and the days of the Messiah were not different in this regard), the wife was considered the property of the husband. This custom led to certain idiomatic expressions which are very confusing to those who are not aware of the idiomatic nature of much of the Bible's language. A great example is the story where Noah curses his grandson, Canaan, for a sin committed by his son, Ham. The sin committed by Ham is described as *"Ham saw the nakedness of his father."* The reality is that the idiomatic expression, *"nakedness of the father,"* can also be a reference to the nakedness of the wife because she is his property. Therefore, many Bible scholars interpret this story as being a case of incest in which Ham must have had sexual relations with his mother while both

Noah and his wife were in a drunken stupor, presumably celebrating the landing of the Ark.

This is why Noah cursed Canaan, not Ham, because Canaan was quite likely the product of incest! Without the knowledge of the idiomatic nature of Biblical Hebrew, this idea is not likely to occur to anyone and the whole incident is a mystery. The same type of idiomatic interpretation probably applies to Luke 24 which says that Joseph was the son of Heli, but here we have to take the meaning as son-in-law. The reason for this is that the two genealogies are different and because Matthew expressly identifies Joseph as the husband of Mary, thus giving us the clue that Matthew gives Joseph's side of the family and Luke gives us Mary's side of the family. Since the custom was always to give the genealogy through the father [Jews take note: NOT through the mother!], Joseph is mentioned in both cases.



The Bible is not contradicting itself. It is simply giving us the two different lines of descent of the two parents. Note, however, that, either way, whichever genealogy you choose, Jesus is of Judah and of David, so the claim that Mary is not a descendant of the tribe of Judah and merely of the tribe of Levi just does not stand.

In addition, it is a matter of historical fact that the Tribe of Levi was set apart and not to be considered a distinct tribe anymore:

"Yahweh then set apart the tribe of Levi to carry the ark of Yahweh's covenant, to stand in the presence of Yahweh, to do him service and in his name to pronounce blessing as they still do today [whenever this verse was written!]. Levi therefore has no share or inheritance with his brothers: Yahweh is his inheritance, as Yahweh your God told him."

Deut. 10:8,9.: The Levitical priests were to get their wives from the other tribes, so that the Tribe of Levi would no longer exist as a distinct tribe.

Joshua 13:14 repeats this testimony.

"To the tribe of Levi alone was no inheritance given."

From this standpoint, it is impossible for Mary to be a Levite because the tribe of Levi ceased to exist as a distinct tribe during the forty years wandering in the desert. Yahweh gave them a special mission and they obeyed that part of the mission, about 1400 years before Mary was born.

In short, the Tribe of Levi was absorbed into the other tribes and was no longer considered a distinct tribe.

During the time of Christ, the expression, "*the sons of Levi*," could only be a reference to the Levitical Priesthood, **not the tribe of Levi**. By this time, this priesthood had the blood of all twelve tribes in its veins. None of this disqualifies either Joseph or Mary from their claim to being of the House of Judah through David. All it means is that they might each carry the genetic material of an original Levite in addition to the genetic material of David himself.

This fact would make Jesus qualify all the more for His role as Priest, King and Kinsman Redeemer.

Praise Yah!

The Chronicles Of The Migrations Of The Twelve Tribes Of Israel From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe

**By
Pastor Eli James**

**The above PowerPoint presentation is
available at Pastor Eli's website:**

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

**Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction
can now be viewed or downloaded -
the latest addition part 6 covers the
German people in relation to the
migrations of the Tribes of Israel.**

Classical And Biblical Records Identifying The Phoenicians (Part 2)

© 2006 William R. Finck Jr.

The pre-eminent “Phoenician” cities were Tyre and Sidon. Homer, the earliest and most famous of Greek poets, never even mentioned Tyre, but often Sidon (Strabo, 16.2.22). That these cities existed before the Israelite occupation of Canaan is clear in the Biblical record. Byblos, the Gebal of Ezek. 27:9 in the A.V., another famous Phoenician city, also existed in the remotest times, and is mentioned in The Story of Sinuhe and other ancient documents (ANET pp. 19 ff., 228 et al.). While Canaanites occupied these cities in antiquity (see for example, the Amarna letters, i.e. ANET p. 484), that does not mean that they did so during the later Israelite Judges and Kingdom periods.



Upon the conquest of the land of Canaan by the Israelites, the entire land was divided amongst the twelve tribes (Josh. 11:23), as described in Joshua chapters 13 through 21. While many of today’s ‘scholars’ deny it (most likely because they haven’t found many Jews in their archaeological diggings), the Biblical record shows with certainty that the various Israelite tribes did for some time occupy the lands which they were given, even if from that time forward they were often identified by geographical location, the district or town which they inhabited, more often than by the name of their tribe (hence Ruth the “Moabite”, David the “Ephrathite”, Jephthah the “Gileadite” et al.). Strabo, speaking of Moses and the Israelite conquest of Canaan (and the terms which he uses are later geographic labels), says that under Moses’ successors the Israelites “seized the property of others and subdued much of Syria and Phoenicia”

(16.2.37), exactly as they were commanded to do (i.e. Deut. 11:8, 23-24), even if Strabo thought meanly of it – obviously not understanding the circumstances (like most ‘scholars’ of today).

Yet not all of each Israelite tribe remained in the lands given them, and neither were they truly expected to (i.e. 2 Sam 7:10). For instance, Zebulun was prophesied to “dwell on the coast, and he shall be by a haven of ships, and shall extend to Sidon” (Gen. 49:13, LXX). While the territory in Israel proper allotted to Zebulun does not border Sidon, nor any sea (Josh. 19:10-16), Isa. 9:1 surely indicates that Zebulun indeed fulfilled this prophecy (for which see my earlier essay on this topic, Galilee of the Gentiles?). Dan is also found at sea, as the prophetess Deborah tells us at Judges 5:17, where she also said that Asher dwells on the seashore and inlets of the coast. Asher’s territory included both Tyre and Sidon (Josh. 19:24-31), the core territory of historical “Phoenicia” on any map. Why do even Bible students doubt that the Asherites inhabited their own lands?

Joshua 11:8; 13:4, 6 and 19:28-29 all make it clear that the children of Israel were very active in, and inhabited, the land of “Phoenicia” encompassing Tyre and points north, and Judges chapters 1 and 3 show that the Israelites at this early period were dwelling among Canaanites whom they failed to remove from the land, enslaving them instead. Tyre is not mentioned among the list of cities in Judges 1 where Canaanites were said to have remained. Tyre, which soon after became the foremost “Phoenician” city, and the city out of which came the Phoenician colonies of the west, was indeed an Israelite city during this period. Strabo says: “Now although the poets have referred more repeatedly to Sidon than to Tyre (Homer does not even mention Tyre), yet the colonies sent into Lybia [i.e. Carthage] and Iberia, as far even as outside the Pillars, hymn rather the praises of Tyre” (16.2.22).

Actually there were two cities called Tyre, the older mainland city (Ushu in Assyrian records),

and the island city a short distance off the coast. In the A.V. Josh. 19:29 says “the strong city Tyre” (where the LXX has only “and the Tyrians”) is a part of Asher’s territory.



The LXX, speaking of the inheritance of Naphtali, also gives to that tribe at Josh. 19:35: “... the walled cities of the Tyrians” along with Tyre itself. While the land of Naphtali was not near the coast, these cities were listed among Naphtali’s inheritance. Since there were two cities named Tyre, palaeo-Tyre and the island city not distinguished in the Bible, there may not be a conflict here. Isaiah 9:1 indicates that along with Zebulun, Naphtali was also a sea-going tribe. (“Galilee” in this verse should rather have been translated “the region”, comparing Strong’s numbers 1551 and 1552 and noting that the words are identical in palaeo-Hebrew, the vowel points being an invention of the later Masoretes.) It may well be that Naphtali inherited, or took for themselves, the island Tyre, which is technically outside of Israel proper, by which reason it may also be that Tyre had its own king, who later controlled parts of the mainland (cf. 1 Kings 9:10-14). Discussing Hiram the artificer, who was from Tyre (cf. 1 Kings 7:13 ff.), Josephus the historian states that “he was by birth of the tribe of Naphtali, on the mother’s side (for she was of that tribe); but his father was Ur, of the stock of the Israelites”, whose tribe was evidently not known to the historian (Antiq. 8.3.4), yet here it is seen that there were people of Naphtali in Tyre. Later kings of Tyre also ruled Sidon (Antiq. 8.13.1), surely helping to fulfil Gen. 49:13.

As time progressed, the Israelites strengthened in their possession of the land of Canaan, as the Biblical records suggest, and Canaanites remained their slaves (cf. Antiq. 8.6.3). When David had his census of Israel, Tyre and Sidon were among the places where it was conducted, and here both of these cities are distinguished from “the cities of the Hivites, and of the Canaanites”, and so they must have been Israelite cities (2 Sam 24:6-7), which Yahshua Christ also attests at Matt. 11:21-22 & Luke 10:13-14. The lamentation of Tyre by Ezekiel (ch. 27) shows that it was an Israelite city. At 27:6 we see the tribe of Asher (“Ashurites”, 843) in Cyprus (“Chittim”), an island of famous Phoeni-

cian colonies which was subject to Tyre before the Assyrian conquest (Antiq. 9.14.2). At 27:12 we see that the tribes of Dan (Danaan Greeks) and Javan (Japhethite Ionian Greeks) brought trade to Tyre. The LXX adds a line to 27:18 not found in the A.V.: “... and wool from Miletus; and they brought wine into thy market”. Miletus was an ancient Carian-Phoenician settlement in southwest Anatolia. Thales of Miletus, an early famous “Greek” philosopher, was said to be “of Phoenician descent” (Herodotus 1:170).

Concerning the prophecies which forecast the destruction of Israel and the Assyrian deportations, we find two mentions of Tyre which are wanting in the A.V. At Amos 3:11 where the A.V. states “An adversary there shall be even round about the land” the LXX has a less ambiguous “O Tyre, thy land shall be made desolate round about thee”, the rest of the verse agreeing except that the LXX has “countries” where the A.V. has “palaces”. Micah 7:12 in the LXX reads: “And thy cities shall be levelled, and parted among the Assyrians; and thy strong cities shall be parted from Tyre to the river, and from sea to sea, and from mountain to mountain.”



Triumphal Arch of Tyre

Surely Tyre was an Israelite city, and the historian Josephus acknowledges as much again in his *Against Apion* (1:22), where

he quotes a Greek writer Theophrastus and his writings concerning laws: “the laws of the Tyrians forbid men to swear foreign oaths”, and Josephus tells us that he was speaking of Israelites, and then goes on to cite Herodotus (from *Histories* 2:104), who stated that the Phoenicians and the “Syrians of Palestine” (which is what Herodotus called the Judaeans - cf. 2:159, 3:5 and 7:89) were circumcised, and Josephus points out that “there are no inhabitants of Palestine that are circumcised excepting the Judaeans [meaning Israelites]; and therefore it must be his knowledge of them that enabled him to speak so much concerning them”. That the Tyrians had such laws, and brought them to their colonies, is evident in a statement of Strabo’s in his *Geogra-*

phy at 3.1.6: “The Turdetanians are ranked as the wisest of the Iberians; and they make use of an alphabet, and possess records of their ancient history, poems and laws written in verse that are six thousand years old, as they assert”, and a footnote in the Loeb Library edition states that “Some think the text should be emended to read ‘six thousand verses in length’.” In either case, it is apparent that these Iberians, “Phoenician”



Hebrews, surely had copies of the Scriptures.

Many of the Greek gods and heroes were admitted to be Phoenician, including Heracles (who was said to have saved Andromeda

from a sea monster at Joppa in Palestine), Dionysus, Cadmus “the Phoenician” (called “the Tyrian” by Herodotus, 2:49), Semele, the Cabiri, Oedipus, Phoenix, and many others. From Phoenix were descended the Greek heroes Minos, Sarpedon, Rhadamanthys, Phineus, Adonis, and his daughter Europa. That Minos was indeed considered to be a Greek see Josephus, Against Apion 2:17. Phoenicia is a very important part of many of the earliest Greek myths, along with much of the Greek language. Citing all of this would be impossible here. One may begin with the poems of Homer, Hesiod and Euripides. Wherever such Phoenicians are described by the Greek writers, they were absolutely a White, fair-haired, fair-skinned people. Even the Roman poet Virgil in his Aeneid described the Carthaginian queen Dido, a Phoenician, as being blonde and beautiful. While such may not have represented the norm, it certainly was the ideal expressed consistently throughout the poets.

The “Phoenicians” made many settlements in Greece at an early time, nearly as early as the conquest of Canaan itself, namely in Boeotia and Thessaly, in addition to the islands. The largest was named Thebes. Cadmus “the Phoenician” and Danaus “the Egyptian” were even said to have left for Greece from Egypt at the same time that Moses led the Israelites in the Exodus (Diodorus Siculus 40.3.1-3), a myth which certainly holds elements of truth. There is evidence both circumstantial and linguistic (from the Egyptian names for them) that the “Sea Peoples”

who invaded Egypt in the late 13th century were actually a group of confederate Israelite and Philistine tribes (for which see www.crystalinks.com/philistia.html). The Phoenicians were obviously an important component in early Greek development, even if the Classical Greek writers, whose perspectives were most often Athenian (Ionian), didn’t always admit as much themselves.

Both the 9th and 11th editions of the Encyclopedia Britannica, in the article “Phoenicia”, explain that the word is properly derived from (*phoinos*), as any Greek scholar should find plainly evident. Liddell & Scott define (*phoinos*) as “blood-red”. I must assert that term is therefore a Greek translation of the Hebrew adam (Strong’s No.s 119-122), which the Israelites consistently used to describe themselves throughout their own books written during this same period!

The 9th edition of Britannica also states that “... in spite of their purely Semitic language, the Phoenicians were a distinct race from the Hebrews”, and this is only true under the false assumptions that the Hebrews were jews, and the Phoenicians were Canaanites. It continues: “... their political organization and colonizing habits ... find no analogies among the Semites”, and the 11th edition notes their “strangely un-Semitic love for the sea”, statements also true only under another false assumption: that by “Semites” the jews and Arabs are meant, both of whom are actually mixed-race Canaanites. The Biblical and historical records clearly show that the ‘scholars’ are wrong, and that the Phoenicians were White, and Israelites!

Steven Books

**League Enterprises (SB)
27. Old Gloucester Street
London WC1N 3XX**

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

www.stevenbooks.co.uk/religion.htm

The Sycamore Of The Bible

Extract From

Scripture Natural History - The Trees And Plants

William H. Groser BSc.(Lon) 1888

Editor's Note: This extract is interesting from the point of view that the sycamore tree that Zaccheus climbed was in fact a fig tree whose scientific name is *Ficus sycomorus* and possibly the reason for it being mistranslated as a sycamore tree. This tree is not the edible fig, but the bad fig of Scripture, so therefore when Christ called Zaccheus down, this was symbolic of him being called out of the Edomite Jewish world order.

Sycamine Sycamore (Heb. shihmah).
'Ye might say unto this sycamine tree, Be thou plucked up.'—Luke xvii. 6. *The sycamore trees that are in the vale.'*—1 Kings x. 27.



Ficus sycomorus

In giving almost identical names to the mulberry and the sycamore-fig, the old Greeks were not led into any serious botanical error; for both the figs and the mulberries are classed by most botanists in the same order of plants. Both terms were derived from, a fig, and the sycamore is the 'fig-mulberry,' so called from its resemblance to the fig in its fruit and to the mulberry in its leaf. The 'Morea' derives its name from its similarity to the latter in form. But the two names were often used interchangeably, the sycamore-fig being called the 'Egyptian sycamine.' By Latin writers the two kinds were distinguished by different names.

Modern Biblical critics have contended that their predecessors were in error in supposing the 'sycamine' of Luke xvii. 6 to be identical with the 'sycamore' of Luke xi. 4, and of the half-dozen references thereto in the Old Testament. But although, as we have seen, translators of former days fell into many excusable mistakes in matters of scientific identification, two points may fairly be urged in their favour in the present instance. The first is, that in the Septuagint Ver-

sion of the Old Testament every instance in which the Hebrew has shikmin the Greek has, 'sycamine,' and not 'sycomore,' though the fig, and not the mulberry, is certainly intended. St. Luke, therefore, may easily have used the two names interchangeably. The second argument is forcibly put by Dr. Thomson: 'As to the mulberry, it has yet to be shown that it was then known in Palestine; ...and further, the mulberry is more easily plucked up by the roots than any other tree of the same size in the country, and the thing is oftener done. Hundreds of them are plucked up every year in the vicinity and brought to the city for firewood.'

We conclude then that the word sycamine, instead of being used in its strictly classical sense in the passage above cited, is employed, as in the Old Testament, to denote the **SYCOMORE-FIG**, and as synonymous with the Sycamore of Luke xix. 4.

The tree thus alluded to is a true fig, and has no natural alliance with the maple sycamores of Europe and North America, which belong to an order not represented in Palestine. It is the **Ficus sycomorus** of botanists, and is still common in warm and sheltered localities in that country. In Egypt 'Pharaoh's fig trees,' as they are called, are less abundant than formerly, leading some modern travellers to doubt, but quite unnecessarily, whether this was the true 'Egyptian sycamore' of Theophrastus and Dioscorides. Dr. Thomson has given a full description of this noble tree of wayside, valley, and plain. He says it is easily reared, grows rapidly, and becomes a giant in girth, with wide-spreading branches and enormous roots. It bears several crops of figs during the year; but they are small and insipid, compared with those of the better-known *F. carica*. Still, they are gathered, as by the prophet Amos of old, and sent into the markets for food, chiefly among the poorer classes; among whom alone 'gatherers of sycamore fruit' are to be found (see Amos vii. 14). Children, like Zaccheus, often climb into the branches. In flowers and foliage it closely resembles the common fig, but grows to a greater size, sometimes reaching

a height of thirty or forty feet, and a diameter of twenty.



Fruit of the "bad Fig"

The wood is soft, but durable, and therefore useful; and this fact will serve to explain several Biblical allusions. David, and Solomon after him, had special plantations of sycamores and olives under the care of crown officials, in the 'Shephelah' or low hills near the coast, where the climate is mild and equable (1 Chron. xxvii. 28; 1 Kings x. 27). Solomon in his years of wealth and prosperity made cedar timber as common as sycamore wood. In later times, when national apostasy had brought divine judgments on the land, Ephraim and Samaria are represented as saying, 'in their pride and stoutness of heart, the bricks are fallen down, but we will build with hewn stones: the sycamores are cut down, but we will change them into cedars' (Isaiah ix. 9, 10).

With cedars, pine trees, and oaks, the Hebrews might well regard the wood of the sycamore-fig as an inferior material; just as the fruit was not to be compared to that of the allied species. But in Egypt it was far from otherwise. The sycamore yielded the largest planks; it was extensively cultivated for coffins, tables, doors, boxes, tablets, and idols; while as a fruit-tree its figs were valued much more highly than in Palestine, being included in the sacred offerings to the gods, and even selected as the heavenly fruit to be given to the righteous on their admission to eternal happiness. Hence we can well understand the severity and significance of the visitation mentioned by the Psalmist (lxxviii. 47): 'He destroyed their vines with hail, and their sycamore trees with frost.' Like the stately palm, the sycamore has almost disappeared from the city of Zaccheus the publican. An aged specimen grows near the Pool of Siloam at Jerusalem, and is said to mark the site of Isaiah's

The New Ensign can be contacted by e-mail:
editor@newensign.christogenea.org

Ten Things You're Not Supposed To Know About The Swine Flu Vaccine

(At least, not by anyone in authority...)

- 1 - The vaccine production was "rushed" and the vaccine has never been tested on humans. Do you like to play guinea pig for Big Pharma? If so, line up for your swine flu vaccine this fall...
- 2 - Swine flu vaccines contain dangerous adjuvants that cause an inflammatory response in the body. This is why they are suspected of causing autism and other neurological disorders.
- 3 - The swine flu vaccine could actually *increase* your risk of death from swine flu by altering (or suppressing) your immune system response. There is zero evidence that even seasonal flu shots offer any meaningful protection for people who take the jabs. Vaccines are the snake oil of modern medicine.



- 4 - Doctors still don't know why the 1976 swine flu vaccines paralysed so many people. And that means they really have no clue whether the upcoming vaccine might cause the same devastating side effects. (And they're not testing it, either...)
- 5 - Even if the swine flu vaccine kills you, the drug companies aren't responsible. The U.S. government has granted drug companies complete immunity against vaccine product liability. Thanks to that blanket im-

munity, drug companies have no incentive to make *safe* vaccines, because they only get paid based on quantity, not safety (zero liability).

6 - No swine flu vaccine works as well as vitamin D to protect you from influenza. That's an inconvenient scientific fact that the U.S. government, the FDA and Big Pharma hope the people never realize.

7 - Even if the swine flu vaccine actually works, mathematically speaking if everyone else around you gets the vaccine, *you don't need one!* (Because it can't spread through the population you hang with.) So even if you believe in the vaccine, all you need to do is encourage *your friends* to go get vaccinated...

8 - Drug companies are making billions of dollars from the production of swine flu vaccines. That money comes out of your pocket -- even if you don't get the jab --

because it's all paid by the taxpayers.

9 - When people start dying in larger numbers from the swine flu, rest assured that *many of them will be the very people who got the swine flu vaccine*. Doctors will explain this away with their typical Big Pharma logic: "The number saved is far greater than the number lost." Of course, the number "saved" is entirely fictional... imaginary... and exists only in their own warped heads.

10 - The swine flu vaccine centres that will crop up all over the world in the coming months aren't completely useless: They will provide **an easy way to identify large groups of really stupid people**. (Too bad there isn't some sort of blue dye that we could tag 'em with for future reference...)

The lottery, they say, is a tax on people who can't do maths. Similarly, flu vaccines are a tax on people who don't understand health.

9/9/9: Yahweh's Jubilee

By Pastor Eli James

Let thy mercy, O Lord, be lifted up upon Thy people, and create in them an upright spirit, and let not the spirit of Beliar rule over them to accuse them before Thee, and to ensnare them from all the paths of righteousness, so that they may perish from before Thy face. But they are Thy people and Thy inheritance, which thou hast delivered with thy great power from the hands of the Egyptians: create in them a clean heart and a holy spirit, and let them not be ensnared in their sins from henceforth until eternity.' And the Lord said unto Moses: 'I know their contrariness and their thoughts and their stiffneckedness, and they will not be obedient till they confess their own sin and the sin of their fathers. And after this they will turn to Me in all uprightness and with all (their) heart and with all (their) soul, and I will circumcise the foreskin of their heart and the foreskin of the heart of their seed, and I will create in them a holy spirit, and I will cleanse them so that they shall not turn away from Me from that day unto eternity. And their souls will cleave to Me and to all My commandments, and they will fulfil My commandments, and I will be their Father and they shall be My children.

And they all shall be called children of the living God, and every angel and every spirit shall know, yea, they shall know that these are My children, and that I am their Father in uprightness and righteousness, and that I love them.




Temple Jubilee celebrations

The resemblance of these words to Jeremiah 31:31-37 is uncanny; but this quotation is not from the Bi-

ble. It is from the Book of Jubilees, Chapter 1, verses 20-21. Of course, these words were spoken by Yahweh to Moses about the children of Israel, not about any other Race. And these words were NOT spoken to the Jews, who are not Israelites, but Edomites, Canaanites and Khazars. Neither do the Jews obey the Laws of Moses. Their Talmud, which is nothing but an edifice of lies, based on the completely fictitious "Oral Tradition," is the very "tradition of the

elders” that Messiah condemned over and over, throughout the New Testament. Our people must be awakened to this Jewish charade. They are neither Israelites, nor do they practice the Laws of Moses. Judaism = Deception. This is what our people must be made to understand; and we cannot shrink from shouting these truths from the housetops. The Old Testament prophets constantly chided the Israelites for ignoring Yahweh; and we in CI are carrying on that Israelite tradition.



The Book of Jubilees is one of the forgotten books of Israel. Like Jasher, Enoch and other Apocryphal books, most Christians and Jews simply ignore their contents. I have come to the conclusion that this is a huge mistake. That fact is that these books are also a part of our heritage as Isra-

elites. In the main, they verify the information contained in the Bible by, first, agreeing with the Bible narrative, and second, by providing more details. Often, these details answer questions that have evaded scholars for millennia. But since these books were not “canonized” by the universalist “church” at Nicea, scholars, blinded by more false traditions, fail to avail themselves of the priceless contents of these Israelite books, including the Apocrypha. These latter, are contained in the original King James Version, yet Christian scholars scorn these books, as if they didn’t exist. This is a very sad state of affairs, but it fulfils Paul’s prophecy in II Thes. 2, that, before the Second Coming, there would be a great “falling away” of the Israelites from true doctrine. Judeo-Christianity is the name of this Great Apostasy.

Consider this gem from the Book of Jasher, from his account of the Flood, which provides important details not contained in the Bible:

And Noah and his household, and all the living creatures that were with him, came into the ark on account of the waters of the flood, and the Lord shut him in. And all the sons of men that were left upon the earth, became exhausted through evil on account of the rain, for the waters were coming more violently upon the earth, and the animals and beasts were still surrounding the ark. And the sons of men assembled together, about seven hundred thousand

men and women, and they came unto Noah to the ark. And they called to Noah, saying, Open for us that we may come to thee in the ark--and wherefore shall we die? And Noah, with a loud voice, answered them from the ark, saying, Have you not all rebelled against the Lord, and said that he does not exist? and therefore the Lord brought upon you this evil, to destroy and cut you off from the face of the earth. Is not this the thing that I spoke to you of one hundred and twenty years back, and you would not hearken to the voice of the Lord, and now do you desire to live upon earth? And they said to Noah, We are ready to return to the Lord; only open for us that we may live and not die. And Noah answered them, saying, Behold now that you see the trouble of your souls, you wish to return to the Lord; why did you not return during these hundred and twenty years, which the Lord granted you as the determined period? But now you come and tell me this on account of the troubles of your souls, now also the Lord will not listen to you, neither will he give ear to you on this day, so that you will not now succeed in your wishes. And the sons of men approached in order to break into the ark, to come in on account of the rain, for they could not bear the rain upon them. And the Lord sent all the beasts and animals that stood round the ark. And the beasts overpowered them and drove them from that place, and every man went his way and they again scattered themselves upon the face of the earth. (Jash. 6:16-25.)




Here is clear evidence that not all of the people on the earth were destroyed by the Flood, for they “scattered themselves upon the face of the earth.” That’s why we find the giants of Gath in Canaan-land after the Flood. That’s where they went.

Josephus agrees with Jasher on this matter. Quoting from the 96th Book of Nicolaus of Damascus,

“There is a great mountain in Armenia, over Minyas, called Baris, upon which it is reported that many who fled at the time of the Deluge were saved.” - Antiquities, Book I, Chapter 3, Verse 6.

Another detail specified in Jasher is the exact reason why Yahweh was so enraged by what was going on in those days. Concerning those fallen angels,

And their judges and rulers went to the daughters of men and took their wives by force from their husbands according to their choice, and the sons of men in those days took from the cattle of the earth, the beasts of the field and the fowls of the air, and taught the mixture of animals of one species with the other, in order therewith to provoke the Lord; and God saw the whole earth and it was corrupt, for all flesh had corrupted its ways upon earth, all men and all animals. (Jash. 4:18.)



Bible scholars have speculated that this was a matter of rape and pillage. Jasher proves it. Jasher also spells out what we in Identity have known all along, namely, that not only was there a problem of rape and pillage, but there was also race-mixing going on. Furthermore, these fallen

angels and their offspring were performing hybridization experiments and producing forbidden chimera creatures. Before the Israelites attacked Canaanland, in order to dispossess the Canaanites, they found the land occupied by giants that made the Israelites look like “grasshoppers” in comparison. The Bible also tells us that these Canaanites had produced a hybrid type of grape. These grapes were so huge that two men were required to carry one bunch on a rod.

Similarly, the Book of Jubilees fills in details that the Bible glosses over. With regard to the fallen angels that mixed their seed with the daughters of Adam:

And against the angels whom He had sent upon the earth, He was exceedingly wroth, and He gave commandment to root them out of all their dominion, and He bade us to bind them in the depths of the earth, and behold they are bound in the midst of them, and are (kept) separate. And against their sons went forth a command from before His face that they should be smitten with the sword, and be removed from under heaven. And He said 'My spirit shall not always abide on man; for they also are flesh and their

days shall be one hundred and twenty years'. And He sent His sword into their midst that each should slay his neighbour, and they began to slay each other till they all fell by the sword and were destroyed from the earth. And their fathers were witnesses (of their destruction), and after this they were bound in the depths of the earth for ever, until the day of the great condemnation, when judgment is executed on all those who have corrupted their ways and their works before the Lord. (Jub. 5:5-6.)

You may ask, can this statement be considered reliable? Absolutely, for this is the exact language that Peter and Jude use in describing the fate of these same fallen angels. They also tell us that they have been bound in the underworld until the Judgment Day, when their ultimate punishment will be executed:

“And the angels which kept not their first estate, but left their own habitation, He reserved in everlasting chains under darkness to the judgment of the great day. Even as Sodom and Gomorrah, and the cities about them in like manner, giving themselves over to fornication, and going after strange flesh, are set forth for an example, suffering the vengeance of eternal fire.” - Jude 6-7.

Sodom Gomorrah



“For if God spared not the angels that sinned, but cast them down to hell, and delivered them into chains of darkness, to be reserved to judgment; And spared not the old world, but saved Noah the eighth person, a preacher of righteousness, bringing in the flood upon the world of the ungodly; And turning the cities of Sodom and Gomorrah into ashes condemned them with an overthrow, making them an example to those that after should live ungodly.” - II Peter 2:4-6.

Both Jude and Peter equate the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah with ethnic cleansing of the race-mixed breeds of the Nephilim. Both confirm, as do Jasher and Enoch, that these Canaanites will meet their final destruction at the Judgment Day. This message is so consistent, it is a wonder how few Christians understand it. But, giving the Devil his due, he has convinced the whole world that his children are

“God’s chosen.” This is both his favorite sport and his sole tactic of surviving the Judgment Day. He hopes that Yahweh will not root his children up, for fear of rooting up the deceived Israelites. The tares hope to hide among the wheat. But Matt. 13:24-30 is very clear: the tares will be rooted up; and any Israelites who have allied themselves with the tares (whose “roots” are mingled with the tares) will be rooted up also. That is why we, His faithful Remnant, must carry on to the bitter end. Let our critics say what they will. We shall be glorified for our Faith (Matt. 13:43), which bears witness to the whole Bible, and to all of history that confirms the Bible narrative, that we, the followers of Messiah, are His chosen people. Praise Yahweh!

Why Jubilees?



Why did Yahweh have Moses write the book of Jubilees? The simple answer to this question is that the Jubilee, like Yahweh’s Sabbaths and Feast Days, is an ordinance that were are to keep and memorialise forever. The problem is that we haven’t been obeying this

ordinance. Few Christians pay any attention to this, which is Yahweh’s most important economic law. And, certainly the Jews, who claim to keep the laws of Moses, fail even more, because they publicly proclaim to be the observers of these laws. We in Identity know that this is Judaism’s greatest lie. We know that Judiasm is the DENIAL of Moses, not the affirmation of Moses. Jesus Himself confirms this, when He tells those lying, thieving Pharisees: *“Do not think that I will accuse you to the Father: there is one that accuses you, **even Moses**, in whom you hope. For had you believed Moses, you would have believed Me: for he wrote of Me. But if you believe not his writings, how shall you believe My words?”*

Can it be any clearer? The Jews only use Moses as a prop. Wearing the mask of Moses, they commit fornication with all races, and they, with great pomp and display, carry the Hebrew scrolls above their heads in their public ceremonies and wail at the wall of Herod, when all of this is mere pretence, a theatrical performance designed to fool the world with their outward “piety.” But we are not fooled. We know that

they are only pretending to follow Moses. Like their father, the Devil, they perform their deceptions well.

49 or 50 Years?

Many people are under the false assumption that a Jubilee is a period of fifty years. That is incorrect. A Jubilee period is exactly 49 years, not 50.

Here is the definition given to us at Leviticus 25:8: *And thou shalt number seven sabbaths of years unto thee, seven times seven years; and the space of the seven sabbaths of years shall be unto thee forty and nine years.*

Why then the common error of counting 50 years instead of 49? The reason is that the Jubilee is to be proclaimed on the Day of Atonement in the 49th year, but it lasts into the following year, which is actually the first year of the next 49-year Jubilee period. The Jubilee is a celebration that occurs WITHIN those two years, but it is not a chronological year. It is actually a year-long celebration, which commences on the Day of Atonement in year 49 and ends on the Day of Atonement in year 1 of the next set of 49 years.

In other words, Year 49 is a typical Sabbath year until the Day of Atonement. When the Day of Atonement arrives in that year, the Jubilee must be proclaimed.



Question: If the Jews are the custodians of God’s Laws, why have they never proclaimed a Jubilee? This question deserves a thorough answer, which is not within the purview of this sermon. Suffice it to say that 1.) The Jews are not Israelites, so the Bible is NOT their book. 2.) Judaism is NOT the religion of Moses, so they don’t feel any obligation to obey his laws. The “holy book” of Judaism is the Talmud, the book of Satan. There are a hundred more rea-

sons why the Jews have never declared a Jubilee. These two are good for starters. 3.) Another reason is that no Jewish moneylender wants to be deprived of his “legal right” to collect interest. Declaring all debts null and void will ruin his banking operations!

Why Declare the Jubilee Now?

As far as I am concerned, we Israelites have been disobeying Yahweh for far too long and it is about time that we start practicing the religion that Bible has given us. But this particular year, 2009, has a special significance for us, as it is, in my opinion, THE LAST JUBILEE BEFORE THE JUDGMENT DAY.



John Pratt, the Mormon historian and chronographer, who has correlated various calendars from around the world, has done some number crunching; and he has concluded that the year 2009 is, in fact, a Jubilee year!! John Pratt has stated that

Monday, April 6, 2009, marked the 70th Jubilee from the day that the Israelites entered the Promised Land. For those who follow the Solar Calendar, as I do, this date falls within our Holy Week (Feast of Unleavened Bread) this year (2009). For this fascinating info, enter this link into your browser.

http://www.meridianmagazine.com/sci_rel/060518jubilee.html

We have completed plans for our 9/9/09 (the follow-up to last year's 8/8/08 event) internet radio marathon for this year. During last year's event, I announced that we will be repeating these internet marathons every year until the year 2012, but each year the date would be moved up, from 8/8/8 to 9/9/9 to 10/10/10, 11/11/11, and finally, 12/12/12. This year, the theme is **Yahweh's Jubilee!** At that time, I felt moved to make the Jubilee the theme for this year's event; but I then had no idea that 2009 was the 70th Jubilee year from Joshua! Dr. Pratt has filled us in on the details.

I will quote extensively from John Pratt's article, so you can get his reasoning:

One date which is given explicitly in the Bible, but which has not yet been mentioned in my articles, is the precise date of passing over the Jordan River into the Promised Land. The Book

*of Joshua explicitly gives that date, being 10 Nisan, exactly 40 years after the first Passover lambs had been chosen at the Exodus (Ex. 12:3). On our calendar, using the Perpetual Hebrew Calendar, that date was Mon 12 Apr 1422 BC. [70 * 49 = 3430. 2009 – 1422 BC = 3430 years. Don't forget to deduct 1, because there is no year zero. – Eli]*

Now we come to a date that has eluded me until now. The story of the falling of the walls of Jericho at the blast of the trump is one of the strangest stories in the entire Bible, and seems loaded with calendrical significance. Let's re-view this unusual story and then determine the date.

Shortly after passing over Jordan, Joshua was commanded to conquer the city of Jericho in a very peculiar manner. Afterwards, the taking of the other cities of Canaan was much more routine. But in the case of Jericho, Joshua was commanded to have his army encircle the city once per day for six days. Accompanying the army were to be seven priests, each bearing a trumpet, and carrying the sacred ark of the covenant.



On the seventh day, the group was to encircle the city seven times, after which the priests were to give a long, loud blast on their trumpets. At that time, the entire army was to shout, and the walls would fall to the ground, rendering the city an easy mark to conquer (Joshua 6:3-6).

It would have been interesting to hear the army commenting to each other on Joshua's plan as they marched around the city. Have you ever heard of stranger battle tactics? It has seemed clear to me that this entire scheme was designed to symbolize an important future event, but the interpretation has eluded me. The seven priests might represent the seven angels of the last days, each of whom blows a trump (Rev. 8:2-11:15). These priests were to give one long blast. Such

a sound is often associated with the earth quaking, as at the giving of the Ten Commandments on the day of Pentecost, when the "whole mount quaked greatly" and the "voice of the trumpet sounded long, and waxed louder and louder" (Ex. 19:18-19). Such a "long and loud" blast is also associated with events preceding the Second Coming, including the resurrection of the dead (D&C 88:94-98).



Rothschild Coat of Arms

Let me take a crack at the interpretation: If each of the seven priests represents 10 Jubilees, 490 years each, then, prophetically, a very loud blast, caused by a global earthquake, will signal the Judgment Day. (Rev. 16:17-21.) $7 * 490 = 3430$ years. Now, this could be either a literal or figurative earthquake. It appears that these numbers signify the Last Jubilee before the Second Coming. The collapse of the Rothschild banking system (Mystery Babylon) would symbolize the falling of Jericho's walls. And the economic "walls" of Wall Street will come crashing down! The Rothschilds have been deliberately destroying America's economy, because they hate freedom and love dictatorship. They haven't been able to stage a military coup as they did in Russia, because our people have been protected by the second Amendment. As they continue to try to take our freedoms away, something is going to backfire; and the whole world economy will collapse. At that point, the economic control they have over nations will evaporate. All hell will break loose.

Continuing with Dr. Pratt:

The battle plan also seems to indicate a date on the calendar. The encircling of the city might represent seven cycles of time. Moreover, the seven angels giving the blast on the trumpet is clearly reminiscent of the seven priests who each did likewise on the Feast of Trumpets, which occurs on the first day of the seventh month on the Hebrew Calendar. While that event seems to represent the seven angels who each give their blast at the opening of the seventh millennium to announce the Second Coming of the Savior, what has been a problem is that the fall of Jericho happens about a month

after Passover in the spring (Joshua 5:11), rather than in the autumn as does the Feast of Trumpets.

I don't see this as a problem. It just means that the Trumpet blast will occur some time within the Jubilee year. As I suggested above, 7 cycles of 490 years = 3430 years. This would fit his scenario. According to the Solar Calendar, the Feast of Trumpets, this year, begins on September 23, 2009. If John Pratt is correct about his dates, then the actual Solar year of Jubilees, from Atonement to Atonement, is Oct. 1, 2008 to Oct. 2, 2009. If all of this information is indeed prophetic, we can expect the Trumpet blast – the blast that brings "Jericho's" walls down – to occur during this year's Feast of Trumpets, from Sept. 23 to Sept. 30, Sept. 30 being the last day of the 8-day Feast. Will the international collapse of the Federal Reserve Note occur during this period? We won't have long to wait to find out!! In any case, we True Israelites must prepare ourselves for this eventuality, because the Dollar cannot be saved. Will the Amero take its place? We'll see. Replacing the Dollar with the Amero may cause more chaos than the Rothschilds have foreseen!

In the next quotation, please disregard Dr. Pratt's invocation of pagan Easter, the timing of the Hebrew calendar is still correct:




As this article was in preparation, the search was again made for the date of the fall of the walls of Jericho and now the answer seems so obvious that it is amazing that it was not discovered earlier. The Feast of First fruits occurs seven

weeks after the ceremony of the Waving of the Sheaf of barley, which in turn occurs on the Sunday following Passover. That waving is equivalent to "Easter" on the Hebrew calendar because it represents the offering of the first fruits of the ground (1 Cor. 15:20). The modern Christian Easter also usually falls on the Sunday after Passover.

The Feast of First fruits is also called the "Feast of Weeks" because of the seven times seven interval. Moreover, that feast also occurs on the "fiftieth" day, counting Easter as the first, and hence it is similar to the "jubilee" which occurs

on the fiftieth year after seven weeks of years. Thus the same feast was later called "Pentecost" in Greek, where the "pente" refers to the "fifty" symbolism. Note that the Day of Pentecost, which always occurs on Sunday on the Perpetual Hebrew Calendar, is counted as the fiftieth day from Easter Sunday, even though they are separated by seven weeks (49 days), because Easter is counted as the first day.



With all of that in mind, let us now consider the Feast of First fruits (Pentecost) as a possible date for the Fall of Jericho. Passover that year was celebrated on the evening preceding Sat 17 Apr 1422 BC. The manna ceased to appear in its weekly cycle the following Sunday morning

(Joshua 5:12), which was Easter Sunday, 18 Apr 1422 BC. The disappearing of the manna from the earth clearly represented the return of Jesus Christ to heaven, he being the true manna from heaven (John 6:31-35, 48-51). Note the wonderful significance that the manna returned to heaven on Easter Sunday, the same day on which the Savior resurrected. That Easter morning had two other things in common with the morning of the Savior's resurrection: it was also Easter on the Jubilee calendar and the day of the Priest cycle representing resurrection.

Counting seven weeks from that Easter brings us to Sun, 6 Jun 1422 BC, the Feast of First-fruits, as the proposed day for the Fall of Jericho. That turns out to have been a holy day on at least five sacred calendars. In addition to being Pentecost, it was 13 Serpent on the Sacred Round (as was "Brazen Serpent Day"), Firstfruits (of Wine) on the Jubilee calendar, 0 Prime (Mercury), and 1 Summer on the Enoch Fixed calendar. With all of those testimonies that the day was special, there is no doubt in my mind that finally the date of the Fall of Jericho has been found.

Upcoming Celebration Dates

There will be an anniversary date of each of the above events coming up in the seventieth jubilee year. The fact that the year is not just any jubilee, but marks exactly seventy jubilees from

Moses and Joshua makes it a special year indeed.

See page xxx for a table of coming anniversary dates:

Most of these dates are not outstanding on their own, but only in commemoration of great events of the past. On the other hand, one of these dates is amazing by itself: the anniversary of the Crossing of the Jordan River.

Dr. Pratt concludes his calendar analysis with these words:

According to the proposed dates from my research, the year from about Sep 2008 through Sep 2009 will mark the seventieth jubilee year from the events of the last year of Moses leading the children of Israel through the wilderness and of Joshua crossing the Jordan River into the promised land of Canaan. It would be a good time to commemorate the raising of the Brazen Serpent, the giving of the Book of Deuteronomy, and even the Easter Sunday on which the manna ceased to come from heaven. But of all of the days to celebrate, the most outstanding will be the anniversary of Joshua's miraculous crossing of the River Jordan. The quarter-day beginning at 6 p.m. on Sun 5 Apr 2009 is a once-in-history repetition of the date of the birth of Isaac on four sacred calendars.




Babylon - The City of London

According to the Solar Calendar, the end of the Jubilee Year occurs on Oct. 2, 2009, the Day of Atonement. I first reported on Dr. Pratt's thesis in the April 5 Newsletter. The very next day, on April 6 (Isaac's birthday, according to Pratt), an earthquake hit the city of Rome. Rome, of course, was the seat of the 6th Beast, from the fall of Greece to the Romans until Napoleon defeated the Papal States, depriving the Papacy of its military and putting the Pope under house

arrest. Napoleon was the 7th Beast; and Mystery Babylon, the world empire of merchants, is the Eighth Beast. Can we expect the collapse of Mystery Babylon's money at the conclusion of this 70th Jubilee year? It didn't happen on the Day of Pentecost, but the deliberate destruction of America's economy by the international bankers could precipitate an unexpected collapse. Let their evil plans befall their own house!! Be prepared!

So, if Dr. Pratt is correct about all of this, then we are presently in the middle of this very significant Jubilee year. What could this signify?

Liberty and the Jubilee



One of the most significant aspects of the Jubilee celebration is that Israel is supposed to forgive all debts that were incurred in the previous 48 years. We Israelites were supposed to have done this since Joshua and the Israelites crossed into the promised

land; but the Israelites never properly obeyed this ordinance. And since True Israel forgot her Identity and became known to the world as the Caucasian People, we forgot our laws! We even forgot Yahweh's true Name. In fact, Yahweh Himself took His Name out of our mouths, because of our profaning of His Holy Name!

The opening quotation from the Book of Jubilees declares that Yahweh will One Day accept Israel back unto Himself. The Bible also declares this numerous times. Has that day arrived? I believe so!

PROCLAIM LIBERTY THROUGHOUT THE LAND!!!

Have you ever heard such beautiful words before?

The Wise Versus Foolish Virgins

Now, it is up to us Israelites to prepare ourselves for the Great Day of Yahweh. There is no more fooling around, because we are about to cross into the Promised Land of the Kingdom!!!

ALL DEBTS MUST BE FORGIVEN, OR ELSE!

Or else what? Or else you don't get into the Kingdom. That's what! The Crossing of the Jordan River and entering into the Promised Land symbolizes the end of our old world and our entering into the "Promised Land" of the Kingdom. If John Pratt's timing is correct, then we are entering into the era of tumultuous upheaval, as all of this world's corrupt institutions must perish. There will be no corruption allowed in the Kingdom! Are you ready to take on incorruption?

Coincidentally, the world economy has been collapsing because the international bankers of the Rothschild International Banking Mafia of Mystery Babylon refuse to declare a Jubilee. Don't worry, Yahweh will do it for them!



When was the last time a Jewish banker forgave your debts? When was the last time that hell froze over? What more proof do you need that the Jews do not obey Yahweh's Laws and statutes. The fact is that the Jewish people don't obey ANY of Yahweh's laws.

Since they are the devil's seed masquerading as Israel, they have literally gotten away with murder, theft, genocide and treason, all in the name of being the self-proclaimed "custodians of the Law of Moses," when, in fact, they are nothing of the kind. The Talmud, Judaism's satanic "holy book," permits the Jews to violate every single Law that Moses ever committed to writing. Instead, Judaism grants the rabbis the "authority" to reinterpret every one of Moses' Laws in terms of their fictitious "Oral Law."

Now, here is what Moses himself said about the supposed "oral law" of Judaism:

"You shall not add to the word which I command you, neither shall you diminish from it, that you may KEEP THE COMMANDMENTS OF YAHWEH your Elohim which I command you." – Deut. 4:2.

In other words, the Law of Moses is COMPLETE, as delivered by him. No rabbi, or

anyone else, has the authority to add more laws or to delete any laws by false interpretation. But this is exactly what the rabbis have been doing for the last 2,000 years. Judaism is nothing but Edomites corrupting the Law of Moses!! This commandment leaves NO ROOM for any supposed “oral law,” which are the very “traditions of the elders,” which are thoroughly condemned in the New Testament. Still think Judaism is based on the Law of Moses? Don’t let the joos fool you any more. Their masquerade is just about over and their world empire, Mystery Babylon, which is based on usury, fraud, deceit and tyranny, is about to be judged! Those of our people, who have allied themselves with the Jews and their satanic lifestyles, will also be judged along with them; so, it’s about time that we stopped acting like Jews and started acting like Christian Israelites; for that is what Yahweh created us to be!! It’s time to come out of Babylon (Rev. 18:4).



70 is the number of judgment. The Babylonian Captivity was 70 years, because the Israelites failed to observe 70 consecutive Land Sabbaths. We are supposed to let the land rest every 7th year. (Lev. 25:2-4.)


We haven’t been doing that either. After the Return from Babylon, the end of the ritual sacrifices was determined by Daniel’s 70 weeks (490 years). If John Pratt is correct in his analysis, then this 70th Jubilee could be the end of the line for civilization as we know it. If Yahweh was angry with us for failing to observe 70 consecutive Land Sabbaths, just imagine how angry He will be with us if we disobey 70 consecutive Jubilees! Of course, our people (True Israel) have been disobedient out of ignorance. The Jews, on the other hand, have been willfully disobedient, so the judgment is going to be heaviest upon them.

All debts must be forgiven during this 70th Jubilee. We have until the Day of Atonement, 2009, to observe this law. By declaring our commitment (faith) to His Law, we can come out of Babylon and watch Babylon fall. Yahweh will protect His faithful and repentant Remnant, while we watch Babylon fall! Oh, glorious day! To keep the spirit of the Law of

Jubilees, we should forgive whatever debts we can, knowing that our non-compliant creditors will NOT return the favor.

Yahweh is going to eliminate all debts, whether we like it or not.

Jesus asked, “Will I find faith in the earth?” We have to have enough faith to forgive as many debts as we can. This is the spirit of the Law of Jubilees.



It is obvious to me that the earth will soon experience the worst depression history has ever witnessed! We know that the Jews are not capable of complying with Yahweh’s Jubilee, because they are not God’s chosen. As far as I am concerned, the GREATER DEPRESSION is already here; and it will make the Great Depression look like Thanksgiving Dinner. Prepare for the worst, because there are too few Christian Israelites on this planet capable of understanding what is getting ready to happen. There will be NO RECOVERY unless we True Israelites declare this Jubilee and demand that the world’s banking houses FORGIVE ALL DEBTS THIS YEAR. And if they do not, Yahweh will judge them accordingly.

The international banking system is coming down; and it will never be revived. Let’s hope that we Israelites have learned the lesson that Yahweh expects us to adhere to His Law of Jubilees!!!

These Are the Last Days.

David, concerning the wicked who afflict us, prayed:

“Let the extortioner seize all that he has; and let the strangers spoil his labor. Let there be none to extend mercy to him: neither let there be any

to favor his fatherless children. Let his posterity be CUT OFF; and in the generation following let their name be blotted out.: - Psalm 109: 11-14.

Jesus told us that, just before the Second Coming, "It shall be as in the days of Noah." Can you see the signs? Evil is everywhere. Race-mixing abounds. Under our very noses, our Republic has morphed into a communist dictatorship. The Children of the devil rule over us, as prophesied by Isaac, when he refused to bless Esau, in Genesis 27:38-41.

Along with that prophecy, concerning Esau's temporary dominion over us, True Israel, the Book of Deuteronomy has very specific details:

"The alien that is inside you shall get up above you very high; and you shall come down very

low. He shall lend to you, and you shall not lend to him: he shall be the head and you shall be the tail. Moreover, all these curses shall come upon you, and shall pursue you, and overtake you, till you be destroyed; because you hearkened not to the voice of Yahweh, your Elohim, to keep His Commandments and His statutes which he commanded you." - Deut. 28:43-45.

This is it, folks! This may be our last chance to forgive each other's debts and repent of our disobedience before the Second Coming!

If you can do this, you will be blessed. As for the Jews, the Book of Obadiah explains their fate.

Come quickly, Lord Yahshua! Happy Jubilee!

Amen.

Table of Feasts - from page 33

Event	Date	Remarks
Brazen Serpent	Sat 20 Sep 2008	Great Day of Atonement (Jubilee Calendar; day OOOoo, once in 49 years).
Jubilee Year Begins	Sun 28 Sep 2008	On Jubilee Calendar (day PPppp); also 1 Autumn (Enoch).
Jubilee Trumpet Sounds	Sat 11 Oct 2008	Day of Atonement (PHC, see Lev. 25:9). Also Tabernacles (Enoch) and End of Vine Harvest
Deuteronomy	Wed 28 Jan 2009	1 Shebat (PHC).
Crossing Jordan	Sun 5 Apr 2009 pm*	Nisan (PHC), 1 Serpent (SR), 1 Res (V), 1 Birth (M).

For Whom Did Christ Die? Star Wars Lesson 2 - Part 1


A Humble Dedication...

Star Wars, because of its very controversial content, should be dedicated to one of the most controversial prophets of the Bible, Jeremiah. He warned. He preached. He exposed the false preaching of his day, and all to no avail, for he and his Israel people went right into the captivity about which he had been warning. He put the blame for the tragic collapse of his civilization right where it belonged: On the ministers who taught error and the people

who listened to them. He preached and this was recorded:

"Then said I, Ah, Lord God! Behold the prophets say unto them, ye shall not see the sword, neither shall ye see famine; but I wilt give you assured peace in this place. Then the Lord said unto me, the prophets prophesy [preach] lies in my name: I sent them not, neither have I commanded them, neither spake unto them: They prophesy unto you a false vision and divination, and a thing of

naught and the deceit of their heart. Therefore thus saith the Lord concerning the prophets that prophesy in my name and I sent them not, yet they say, Sword and famine shall not be in this land; by sword and famine shall these prophets be consumed. And the people to whom they prophesy shall be cast out into the streets of Jerusalem because of the famine and sword: and they shall have none to bury them, their wives, nor their sons, nor their daughters: for I will pour wickedness upon them."




Jeremiah 14:13-16

I realize that Brother Jeremiah did not project a very pretty picture for his Israel people. He was not too nice a guy to have around. People thought his ideas were off the wall. The picture, however bleak, was not Jeremiah's idea but came directly from Almighty God Himself. Jeremiah did not blame the King. He did not accuse the Courts or the political or community leaders. He laid none of his national problems on the prostitutes, the homosexuals, or the drug addicts, and we are sure that Israel had them in those days too. Here is the interesting point which God gave to Jeremiah: All the sins of the land were there when they were not **called**, and it was because of their preaching that wickedness not only abounded, but was permitted.

As thousands of my regular readers know, I have been exposing wickedness in high places for more than 28 years with these pamphlets. The Scriptures command us to do that. This Star Wars lesson is going to put The Light and The Salt right where it belongs, as Jeremiah did, on the local ministers in your hometown and mine. They are giving our people the false vision and incorrectly dividing the Word of Truth. With deliberate intent, the eyes of God's People have been taken from God's Laws. Statutes and Judgments, with the ultimate end that America is neither pre-

pared for the coming famine, disease and the forecast Sword of Magog.

Chief among these false prophets, who brought us to where we are today, was the famous minister of the turn of the last century, C.I. Scofield. In this lesson, you will learn more about him than your minister hoped you would ever find out. You will learn how he defrauded his mother-in-law out of her life's savings and how he was convicted of forgery in another case and sent off to prison. You will learn that he abandoned his wife and family and never sent them any support. When his wife finally divorced him, he married another woman all during this time he was writing his now-infamous notes in The Scofield Reference Bible. As a Christian, he was a disgrace! As a man, he lacked ordinary chivalry expected of any gentleman. He called himself "Dr." but never attended any college which could convey such a degree. You will also read the account of his financial support for his Reference Bible came from the Zionist and conspiratorial groups out of Boston, Massachusetts, known as The Secret Six.



Scofield was no Jeremiah! For certain, he preached, but was not called. If God did not send him, you need to know who did, for his false doctrines are wide spread today, and almost every Bible bookstore carries copies of his Bible with its Reference Notes. The truth about Scofield, and those men who worked with him, play a key role in that saga of the perpetual warfare between the people of the Five Pointed Star and the people of the Six Pointed Star which began, at God's specific direction, in Genesis 3:15. I will cover this subject in exhaustive detail in a later lesson. This relatively unknown truth is that one common thread weaving throughout the remainder of the Bible.

People write to us stating that they enjoy going to their local churches, even though they know that what is taught is not

correct. We know that Christ will deal swiftly and lawfully with the false ministers, but I want you to turn back to page 11 and read once again the dark printed warning from Jeremiah concerning those who sit under such false preaching: Famine, Sword and Death, not only to you but all of your family! Controversial as this lesson is, until you search out the Scriptures for yourself, I publish it anyway, by the authority of Almighty God. It just may save your life and that of your children. Writing about these ministers, Jeremiah stated:

"...But if they had stood in my counsel, and had caused my people to hear my words, then they should have turned them from their evil way, and from the evil of their doings."

- Jeremiah 23:22



Have our American people turned from their wicked ways? No, anyone can see that America gets worse and worse, while at the same time more and more ministries are set up on nationwide television and in the local communities. Therefore, since America has not turned from her wicked ways, the ministers have not yet stood in His Counsel and preached His Word. To conclude otherwise is to contradict God's Word to Jeremiah. Frankly, I would prefer to write on more pleasant subjects. This **Star Wars** lesson is dedicated to the exposure of some very cherished humanistic doctrines, posing as Christianity, being preached across America such that our people will ignore or tolerate the wickedness all around them and do nothing whatever about it. In the forefront of these Scriptural errors, you will find the teachings and Bible references of C.I. Scofield. This lesson is prepared and published without apology or remorse. To those with eyes to see, take a good look.

Over the past twenty years, I have taught a number of Bible lessons on the general theme of The Pronouns of the New Testament. This could well be the secondary title to this lesson. Remember the language of the Roman Road to Salvation that my young students put before me? Did you notice that all the sinners spoken about were in the pronoun form? If you do not pay careful attention to this lesson, you will miss the Truth that is found in the following pages, and may be unable to resist the temptation to write argumentative letters to me. For years, I have wanted to put The Notes from these lectures into print, as if, once and for all, to settle those issues that confuse and divide our Christian people. Of course, it will not do so, for if I read The Word correctly, Truth brings even more division. St. Paul states in II Thessalonians 2, God uses the Truth to confuse those who believe lies. Some of our people, who cannot believe that God intentionally brings confusion and strong delusion, need to review this chapter once again.



Since the advent of such theological conspirators as C.I. Scofield, and lesser-known men before and after him so far as influence is concerned, our brethren have never been weaned from the warm milk of the Word. They seem content to be coddled as if the Word had only a personal thrust and they have learned all they need to know. Taught in a dozen different ways, and by as many different denominations, including the Catholics and the Mormons, the bottom line of this is Scofield's personal promises and personal objectives found in the Bible. The other 856 of the Bible is either ignored or not understood in the darkness of this purely personal gospel. Thus, with the bones of Scofield coaching from the sidelines, Christians now suppose that those Pronouns of Promise found in the New

Testament apply to *whosoever* manages to pick up a copy of the Bible and reads them. As the late Will Rogers used to say, ***"It ain't so much what a man doesn't know that causes him so many problems, but what he knows that just ain't so."*** I receive dozens of letters every week, and they are all addressed to me as "Dear Brother Davis..." In one such letter a few years ago, a Christian brother from New Jersey offered me a Lincoln automobile in fine condition. He added in his letter, "If **you** will come here and pick it up, you can have it at no cost." As it happened, of course, my Associate read the letter first. Do you think that she was the least bit confused as to whom the automobile was being given? Do you suppose that she asked for a few days off, and left at once, with the letter in her hand, intent upon claiming and taking possession of the Promise? Certainly not, for she is wise enough to know that the pronoun, **you**, did not apply to her but rather to Nord Davis to whom the letter had been addressed. We have no authority in the English language, or for that matter the Greek, to assign pronouns to anyone other than those to whom they apply.

The Bible, with its many authors in the Old and New Testaments, was directed to only One People, a peculiar people God says, above all ***the peoples of the earth***. As early as the 5th chapter of Genesis, Moses draws a clear line between the "generations of Adam" and the other peoples of the earth. Every Bible author directs his words, inspired of God, to this One People, designated progressively as the Kindred of Adam through the Seed of the Woman, to Abraham's one line of Isaac through Jacob, and his twelve sons. Christ came, as the Kinsman Redeemer to these carefully selected people, called His People, who were in sin. To preach otherwise, or to teach and believe otherwise, it is necessary that these Pronouns of the New Testament be personalized right out of their context, setting and intent. To do so insinuates that those pronouns have a universal application and assignment, and that God loves those

whom the Bible says He hates, and offers salvation to those the Word clearly says are literally condemned from birth as a matter of race, as stated by Obadiah. I admit that I do not understand God's Ways in these matters, but I must and do accept them. **(To be continued)**

House Of Israel And House Of Judah Bad Teinach - Germany 14th - 22nd October 2009

There will be a warm welcome for readers of this magazine wishing to attend this year's annual identity conference in Haus Sonnenblick, located at Bad Teinach in the beautiful Black Forest. Being a spa Town there will be an opportunity to sample the health giving thermal waters for which Bad Teinach is famous which is just a short walk away.

This annual conference is organised by Brother Heinz Mohrlök. The first 2 days of the period 14th to 17th will be devoted to a pre-meeting of the English and Dutch members, however, any-one of true Israel will be most welcome.

Speakers for the German Session will be brothers Gassler, Gembruch, Hess, Kuhl, Hoster, Phlug, Clark, Aimer, Lightfoot and Mohrlök.

Contact

Christliche Erholungs und Tagungsstätte
Haus Sonnenblick
Poststrasse 25
75385 Bad Teinach
Telefon: 07053-92600
Mail: Haus-Sonnenblick@t-online.de
Homepage: www.haus-sonnenblick.info



Announcements

A DAY OF PRAYER AND FASTING IN ISRAEL

**9/9/09 Internet Marathon
Proclaiming a Jubilee in
Israel**

**ALL DEBTS MUST BE
FORGIVEN! THIS IS
YAHWEH'S LAW!**

**Listen or Download
Archives**

**9 am to 9 pm on Talkshoe
Radio September 9, 2009**

Programme (All EST)

9-11 AM

Hosted by Eli

**Lord's Prayer with Pastor George Udvary
Plus, Jews and Mystery Babylon, with Pas-
tor Jay Faber**

11 AM - 1 PM

Jewish Usury in the Middle Ages

By William Finck

1 - 3 PM

**Organized Jewry Versus Freedom of Speech
Eli with Arthur Topham, RadcialPress.com**

3-5 PM

**Bill Interviews Clifton Emahiser About the
Edomites in Our Midst**

5 - 7 PM

**Eli Interviews Jeff Weakly: Sabbaths and
Jubilees**

7 - 9 PM

**Bill Interviews Pastor Jeromy
Visser: Repentance and Forgiveness**

The UK Column

**For the real uncensored news from
Great Britain. Find out what
your politicians are really up to!**

**County House, 12/13
Sussex Street, Plymouth PL1 2HR**

email: editor@ukcolumn.org

Tel: +44 (0) 1752 312743

Lawful Rebellion Conference

**Reclaim Our Sovereignty
On
31st October 2009**

At

**The Friends Meeting
House**

**173-177 Euston Road
London**

Further details from

**The British Constitution Group
7 Holland Road**

Wallasey

Wirral

CH45 7QZ

**Telephone 07813 529 383
Emailinfo@thebcgroup.org.uk**

The Christian Defence League

**New Christian Crusade Church
PO Box 25
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.
Tel. No. +1 6017498565**

**Archived Christian Identity
resources are available at:**

<http://Christogenea.org/>